

Supplemental File 3. Critical Appraisal results (appraised by SM)

1=y es, 0=n o		1.Is this study qualitative research?	2.Are the research questions clearly stated?	3.Is the qualitative approach clearly justified?	4. Is the approach appropriate for the research question?	5. Is the study context clearly described?	6. Is the role of the researcher clearly described?	7. Is the sampling method clearly described?
	Bauer 2020 Factors Influencing Self-Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Ellison Meeting the mental health needs of people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Fotokian The empowerment of elderly patients	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Gorst It's Sort of a Lifeline": Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Guo Improving Understanding of and Adherence to Pulmonary Rehabilitation	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Halding COPD transitions in health and self-management	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Halding 2011 Patients' experiences of health transitions in pulmonary rehabilitation	1	1	1	1	1	0	1

	Halding 2010 Experiences of self-blame and stigmatisation for self-infliction among individuals	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Harb 2017 Patient-perceived treatment burden	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Hellem Exercise maintenance: COPD patients' perception and perspectives	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Kayyli Shared decision making and experiences of patients	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Keating Lack of perceived benefit and inadequate transport	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Lindgren 2014 Living in negotiation	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Luckett 2017 Insights from Australians with respiratory disease	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Moore Acceptability and feasibility of pulmonary rehabilitation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Oliver Living with failing lungs: the doctor-patient relationship	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Robinson 2005	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Shipman 2009 Access to care in advanced COPD	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Sully Development of a Patient Needs Assessment model	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Wodskou A qualitative study of integrated care from the perspectives of patients	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Hopley Barriers to accessing specialist care	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Andersen 2018 Between hope and hopelessness	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Korpershoek 2016 Factors influencing exacerbation-related	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table Continued for criteria from Criteria 8 onwards

	8. Is the sampling strategy appropriate for the research question?	9. Is the method of data collection clearly described?	10. Is the data collection method appropriate to the research question?	11. Is the method of analysis clearly described ?	12. Is the analysis appropriate for the research question?	13. Are the claims made supported by sufficient evidence?	Information richness	Pulmonary rehabilitation paper(yes/no)	Overall Assessment
Bauer 2020 Factors Influencing Self-Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	1	1	1	1	1	1		Yes	12
Ellison Meeting the mental health needs	1	1	1	1	1	1			13

Hellem Exercise maintenance: COPD patients' perception and perspectives	1	1	1	1	1	1			12
Kayyli Shared decision making and experiences of patients	1	1	1	1	1	1	Mixed-methods research, role of the researcher		12
Keating Lack of perceived benefit and inadequate transport	1	1	1	1	1	1	Role of the researcher- background of researcher not mentioned		13
Lindgren 2014 Living in negotiation	1	1	1	1	1	1			12
Luckett 2017 Insights from Australians with respiratory disease	1	1	1	1	1	1			13
Moore Acceptability and feasibility of pulmonary rehabilitation	1	1	1	1	1	1	Role of the researcher- background of researcher not mentioned	Yes	13
Oliver Living with failing lungs: the doctor-patient relationship	1	1	1	1	1	1			13
Robinson 2005	1	1	1	0	1	1			10
Shipman 2009 Access to care in advanced COPD	1	1	1	1	1	1	Good study, but GP services were not the focus of the paper and linked to advanced COPD patients		12

