

## Early View

Original article

# Characteristics and impact of exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction: an international perspective

Emil S. Walsted, Bamidele Famokunwa, Louise Andersen, Sune L. Rubak, Frederik Buchvald, Lars Pedersen, James Dodd, Vibeke Backer, Kim G. Nielsen, Andrew Getzin, James H. Hull

Please cite this article as: Walsted ES, Famokunwa B, Andersen L, *et al.* Characteristics and impact of exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction: an international perspective. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00195-2021>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *ERJ Open Research*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJOR online.

Copyright ©The authors 2021. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

# Characteristics and Impact of Exercise-Induced Laryngeal Obstruction: An International Perspective

Emil S. Walsted<sup>1,7</sup>, Bamidele Famokunwa<sup>2</sup>, Louise Andersen<sup>3</sup>, Sune L. Rubak<sup>3</sup>, Frederik Buchvald<sup>4</sup>, Lars Pedersen<sup>1</sup>, James Dodd<sup>2</sup>, Vibeke Backer<sup>5</sup>, Kim G. Nielsen<sup>4,8</sup>, Andrew Getzin<sup>6</sup>, James H. Hull<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Academic Respiratory Unit, University of Bristol, Southmead Hospital UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital Skejby, Aarhus, Denmark

<sup>4</sup> Pediatric Pulmonary Service, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Rigshospitalet, Denmark

<sup>5</sup> Department of ENT and Centre for Physical Activity Research, Rigshospitalet and Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>6</sup> Cayuga Medical Center, Ithaca, NY, USA

<sup>7</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, SW3 6HP

<sup>8</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

## Corresponding author:

Dr Emil S. Walsted

Respiratory Research Unit

Department of Respiratory Medicine, Bispebjerg Hospital

Bispebjerg Bakke 23

2400 Copenhagen NV

E-mail: [emilwalsted@dadlnet.dk](mailto:emilwalsted@dadlnet.dk)

Tel: +45 3122 1111

**Take-home message:** Exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction is a prevalent cause of exertional breathlessness and wheeze. In this international multi-centre collaboration (n=1,007) patients on average waited 2 years to diagnosis and 20% were mistreated as asthmatics.

**Author contributions:** All authors played a role in the intellectual content and writing of the manuscript. In addition: JHH and ESW conceived and designed the study. SLR, LP and, AG substantially contributed to the original idea, design, and interpretation. ESW, JHH, BF, LA, VB, LP, FB, and AG were involved in data collection. ESW prepared the data for presentation and prepared the manuscript in collaboration with JHH. All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version.

**Funding:** This study did not receive any funding.

**Running head:** Impact of Exercise-Induced Laryngeal Obstruction

**Word Count:** 2,753

**Key Words:** Larynx, wheeze, asthma, exercise, dyspnoea, vocal cord dysfunction

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction (EILO) is a common cause of exertional breathlessness and wheeze yet frequently misdiagnosed as asthma. Insight regarding the demographic characteristics, laryngeal abnormalities and impact of EILO are currently limited, with data only available from individual centre reports. The aim of this work was to provide a broader perspective from a collaboration between multiple international expert centres.

**Methods:** Five geographically distinct clinical paediatric and adult centres (3 Denmark, 1 UK, 1 US) with an expertise in assessing unexplained exertional breathlessness completed database entry of key characteristic features for all cases referred with suspected EILO, over a 5-year period. All included cases completed clinical asthma work-up and continuous laryngoscopy during exercise (CLE) testing for EILO.

**Results:** Data were available for 1,007 individuals (n=713 female (71%)), median (range) age of 24 (8–76) years and of these 586 (58%) were diagnosed with EILO. In all centres, EILO was frequently misdiagnosed as asthma; on average there was a 2-year delay to diagnosis of EILO and current asthma medication was discontinued in 20%. Collapse at the supraglottic level was seen in 60% whereas vocal cord dysfunction (VCD) was only detected/visualised in 18%. Nearly half (45%) of individuals with EILO were active participants in recreational level sports, suggesting that EILO is not simply confined to competitive/elite athletes.

**Conclusion:** Our findings outline key clinical characteristics and the impact of EILO/VCD similar in globally distinct regions, facilitating improved awareness of this condition to enhance recognition and avoid erroneous asthma treatment.

## INTRODUCTION

Exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction (EILO) describes a phenomenon in which the laryngeal structures temporarily close during vigorous exercise, resulting in exertional breathlessness, cough and wheeze [1]. This condition remains frequently overlooked and misdiagnosed as asthma[2, 3] and thus individuals with EILO often fail to receive appropriate management and at the same time are typically inappropriately prescribed unnecessary asthma therapy [4].

To date, the published literature describing the characteristics of individuals with EILO, arises almost exclusively from single centre reports, originating from a small number of highly specialized centres, located in Scandinavian countries [2]. Data from this geographical region indicates that EILO is common, affecting approximately 5-7% of adolescents [3, 5] and up to a quarter of athletes presenting with exertional 'asthma-type' symptoms despite no objective evidence of asthma [4]. These reports also indicate that EILO has a female preponderance and a peak age of onset in teenage years. Importantly, the published analyses also highlight a consistent and significant delay in time to diagnosis; often taking many years from symptom onset and associated with exercise avoidance[6, 7] and heightened anxiety [8].

Although somewhat informative, single centre reports are limited by local referral bias and practice, exercise engagement within the local community and care provision and accessibility within a given healthcare system. They can thus only be expected to provide a relatively limited perspective on the true characteristics of EILO. Indeed, it remains the case that, outside of the Scandinavian region, there is very limited insight available regarding the

characteristics of this condition. It is also not clear how different centres approach treatment.

The aim of this study was to compile a geographically broad and regionally diverse dataset, drawn from several adult and paediatric respiratory centres, to provide a more general perspective on the typical characteristics of individuals undergoing assessment for suspected EILO. We recruited centres from the UK and US, to provide comparison data with adult and paediatric centres in Scandinavia. We aimed to evaluate and describe four key areas concerning EILO, including (i) demographics (ii) time to diagnosis (iii) findings on testing and (iv) approach to treatment. A key aim was to evaluate time to diagnosis and (over-)use of asthma medication with an over-arching aim of increasing understanding of EILO, to provide increased clinical insight and awareness of this condition.

## **METHODS**

### **Design and study population**

Five clinical centres (Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK; Bispebjerg Hospital and Rigshospitalet, Region H, Copenhagen, Denmark; Aarhus University Hospital Skejby, Aarhus, Denmark, and Cayuga Medical Center, New York State, USA) provided data in a retrospective observational evaluation of individuals referred with possible EILO (i.e. unexplained exertional dyspnoea or symptoms highly suggestive of laryngeal closure on exertion such as: exertional dyspnoea, audible inspiratory breathing sounds, localisation of symptoms to the throat/neck or asthma-like symptoms previously unexplained by previous objective testing for asthma), between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2018.

Each centre extracted their own data to address key characteristics and basic anthropometric information. Each centre obtained local service evaluation / ethical approval to complete analysis of their dataset in a fully anonymized form and data was not shared between centres. This undertaking was approved by internal review boards at Cayuga Medical Center (no. 0718AG), Aarhus University Hospital (no. 1-16-02-326-15), Bispebjerg Hospital and Rigshospitalet, Region H (no. WZ18044879) and Royal Brompton Hospital (CIRIS 002771).

### **Diagnosis of EILO**

All centres utilize the gold standard test for the diagnosis of EILO, namely the continuous laryngoscopy during exercise (CLE) test. Briefly, this test requires placement and fixation of a flexible laryngoscope, to allow continuous, uninterrupted acquisition of laryngeal movement via video capture [9]. Evidence of EILO was taken, using the most widely utilized grading

system (with  $\geq$  grade 2 score[10] as EILO), also describing the predominant site of closure; at either the supraglottic, glottic level or combined closure (Figure 1). Individuals who were not classifiable by this grade scoring scheme (e.g. anatomical variations, laryngeal lesions etc.) were coded as “other”.

### **Asthma and other comorbidities**

A diagnosis of asthma/no asthma was made by the clinical team following completion of the diagnostic work-up, i.e. considering all available diagnostic information, including symptoms, medical history and objective testing. All centres have expertise in the assessment of asthma and utilize bronchial provocation testing, based on guideline recommendations. Individuals with asthma and EIB and individuals with EIB alone were all grouped as ‘asthma’. Other co-morbidities were recorded.

### **Time to diagnosis and approach to treatment**

Information on the self-reported duration of an individual’s respiratory symptoms (recorded in months) and current and previous asthma medications (coded as yes/no) were recorded. Individuals prescribed asthma medication at the time of assessment but with no historical or current objective evidence of asthma were classified as having received erroneous / unnecessary asthma therapy.

We categorized EILO intervention into six general types of treatment: respiratory physiotherapy, biofeedback, inspiratory muscle training (IMT), exercise, speech and language therapy (SLT), and surgical intervention with supraglottoplasty.

## **Analysis and statistics**

Each centre supplied summary descriptive statistics for their pooled data, including mean and standard deviations, or pooled median and range for continuous data, and count and percentages for categorical data. Categorical variables were compared using  $\chi^2$  or, if appropriate, Fisher's Exact test; continuous variables were compared using the independent t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test, depending on distribution. Associations between continuous variables were quantified by simple correlation coefficients (Pearson or Spearman as appropriate). A  $p$ -value of  $<0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

Analysis and figures were produced using R version 3.5.0 (R Core Team 2019) including the package '*metamedian*' (McGrath et al. 2019) and Python programming language version 3.6 (Python Software Foundation, <http://www.python.org>).



## RESULTS

### Demographic characteristics

In total, 1,008 individuals (71% female, age range 8-76 years) were CLE-tested over the five-year period, and 586 (58%) were confirmed to have EILO (Table 1). In the paediatric centres, the median age was 13 years, with a female predominance (Table 1) and similar age at diagnosis was apparent between males and females. The most frequent age of EILO onset was in adolescence (Figure 2) and half of the overall EILO population were below age 24 years old; however, 15% were >30 years of age at the onset of symptoms. Subjects with EILO were on average younger (median age 21) than those without EILO (median age 27.5 years;  $p<0.001$ ) and a higher proportion of individuals were female in the EILO group (76% versus 64%, respectively;  $p<0.001$ ) (Figure 3), whilst there was no difference in BMI between the groups (Table 2). General demographic characteristics and diagnoses are shown in Table 1.

Overall, 45% of the total referral population were taking part in recreational-level sport (i.e. not elite or competitive), although this differed between centres (Table 1), although a higher proportion of individuals with EILO were athletic to a competitive level ( $p<0.001$ ; see Table 2). The predominant sport performed by individuals with EILO was endurance-intensity. e.g. running, swimming and cycling (Table 3).

### Asthma, EILO and other co-morbidities

The most prevalent diagnoses in this population were EILO (58% overall, 46–80% in individual centres) and asthma (39% overall, 14–52% in individual centres). Co-morbid asthma and EILO was reported in 36% of individuals and thus more frequent than in CLE

tested individuals overall (21%, see Table 1 and 2;  $p=0.02$ ). Approximately one third of individuals with EILO were currently or had previously been prescribed asthma inhalers, in the absence of confirmatory evidence of asthma (Table 3).

Other co-morbid conditions in those with confirmed EILO included allergy (10%), rhinitis (7%), breathing pattern disorder (5%) and reflux (3%). Psychiatric/psychological co-morbidity was not reported as a co-morbidity, with the exception of Rigshospitalet where it was documented in 5% of children and young adults.

### **CLE findings**

The most frequent finding on CLE testing was supraglottic-type EILO, i.e. closure at the level of the arytenoids (60%), and in some centres accounted for nearly 80% of cases, whilst glottic predominant EILO (i.e. often termed ‘exercise-induced vocal cord dysfunction’) was seen in only 18% of individuals; this was true for all centres with the exception of Cayuga Medical Center where combined supraglottic and glottic EILO was predominant (65%) (Figure 4). Combined glottic and supraglottic EILO was seen in 19% and unclassifiable subtypes in 3% of individuals with confirmed EILO.

### **Referral pathway and treatment approach**

Individuals with EILO reported having had exercise related respiratory symptoms for a median of two years, ranging up to twenty years (Table 2). In the adult centres, there was no relationship between symptom duration and age at time of assessment (Figure 5) but there was a direct relationship apparent in some paediatric populations; Cayuga Medical

Center (Spearman's  $\rho$  0.35;  $p=0.01$ ) and at Bispebjerg Hospital (Spearman's  $\rho$  0.19;  $p=0.01$ ).

Interventions for EILO varied between centres (Figure 6); all centres did appear to employ most treatment modalities, although the use of individual modalities varied considerably. Notably, speech- and language therapy was the most frequently reported intervention employed in 44% of individuals with EILO overall.

## **DISCUSSION**

This multi-centre collaboration provides the first international perspective on the key characteristics of EILO. Our analysis, of over a thousand patients referred to expert respiratory centres, confirms that, in globally distinct regions, individuals with EILO appear to share similar demographic characteristics. Specifically, EILO was most frequently diagnosed in young individuals in both paediatric and adult centres, and appears to exhibit a female preponderance, when compared to those without EILO (76% and 64% respectively;  $p<0.001$ ). Our analyses also highlight consistency across differing healthcare systems, especially in terms of an apparent consistent delay to diagnosis (i.e. two years on average) and high rate of inappropriate treatment prescription, with one fifth prescribed asthma therapy despite lack of objective evidence of asthma.

A further important similarity across the different centres was that supraglottic EILO level only (i.e. arytenoid structures and not the vocal cords) was most common CLE finding (60%). This challenges the use of the nomenclature 'exercise-induced vocal cord dysfunction', given the closure does not often occur at the vocal cord level, strengthening the case for the term EILO to be more widely adopted internationally [11].

### **Characteristics of individuals diagnosed with EILO**

Although the majority of individuals with EILO in the present study were adolescent or young adults, consistent with previous single-centre reports, there was a notable proportion of individuals referred and ultimately diagnosed with EILO in middle-age or older (Figure 2). Interestingly, advancing age did not appear to relate to symptom duration in adults (Figure

5), this observation might indicate that EILO does not necessarily always arise from an innate anatomical/structural/genetic factor, but could also be acquired.

The observed female predominance is consistent with previous reports [2, 4, 12]. It has been speculated that the laryngeal growth difference between genders seen in the peri-pubertal age group might explain this observation [2, 13] but some studies, describing paediatric populations, report a more equal gender distribution [3]. Although the paediatric centres involved in the current study did include individuals from 8 years of age, the majority of paediatric patients were teenagers, limiting our ability to further evaluate this issue. There was however a notable difference in the gender distribution at the two Danish paediatric centres; with Aarhus University Hospital Skejby (80% female) and Rigshospitalet (56% female). It is also noteworthy that the gender distribution of all referred individuals closely matches that of individuals with EILO (Table 1 and 2) and thus the gender differences observed may be explained by referral pattern.

The majority of studies, to date, have focused on addressing EILO in competitive athletes. In contrast, in the current study, over half of all those tested did not describe themselves as competitive, i.e. they were only partaking in recreational sports. In the whole cohort EILO was found in individuals partaking in a wide variety of sports but endurance/high intensity sports (e.g. running, swimming, cycling) were most frequently reported; likely relating to the known influence of high and prolonged ventilatory demand on the respiratory system [14]. This aligns with studies reporting a high prevalence of EILO in recreational runners partaking in the Park Run [15]. Olin et al. has also previously shown that the severity of laryngeal obstruction in EILO relates to exercise intensity [16], but it is currently unclear if chronic and

repeated exposure to a heightened ventilatory load is associated or indeed a pre-requisite to the development of EILO. Regardless, the current findings, in both competitive and non-competitive physically-active individuals have more broad-reaching implications regarding the impact of EILO on general ability to partake in and enjoy physical activity. Indeed, this doesn't appear to be a condition confined exclusively to highly trained or elite sports people. Improved recognition and diagnosis in EILO in this context are therefore important to mitigate against the impact of EILO on exercise avoidance and potentially sedentary lifestyle behaviour [6].

The subclassification of CLE findings varied across centres (Table 3). Although all centres utilised a standardised scoring system in combination with clinically compatible features to confirm diagnosis, an improved scoring system and a uniform diagnostic approach is warranted [17].

### **Comorbidities of EILO**

Our analysis reveals that a significant overlap is apparent between asthma and EILO; in line with previous studies [3, 4, 18]. It is also consistent with a recent meta-analysis indicating that some form of paroxysmal laryngeal closure is likely to be apparent in at least one in four people with asthma [19]. The reasons underpinning this overlap is currently unclear but may relate to common neural neuronal? or inflammatory pathways, simultaneously affecting both the lower and upper airways. This is speculative and at present our understanding of the pathophysiology and risk factors underpinning EILO is poor. There is currently no robust data describing any distinct laryngeal pathophysiology in individuals with EILO and there is a need to further understand the relationship between EILO and asthma, to promote improved management.

In contrast, more than one-quarter of individuals with EILO were found to have been prescribed asthma therapy with no evidence of this diagnosis, i.e. EILO had been a mimic of asthma leading to misdiagnosis. Individuals found to have EILO but no evidence of asthma had been prescribed asthma therapy for a median symptom duration of two years and in some individuals as long as 20 years (Table 2). We found that medication could be discontinued in 20% of all individuals with EILO; suggesting that individuals were taking asthma medication for the entire duration of their respiratory symptoms, this amounts to a cumulative total of 308 patient-years of unnecessary asthma treatment given, or 3.7 years per patient on average (excluding Rigshospitalet where this data was not available).

We found a low proportion of individuals with reflux (3% of confirmed EILO). This is in contrast to some data on Vocal Cord Dysfunction (VCD) / glottic ILO, which suggest an association between reflux and VCD. This discrepancy may be explained by the retrospective nature of this study, as well as the predominance of supraglottic EILO.

### **Treatment approach**

There are currently no robust prospective randomized controlled trials addressing treatment of EILO and thus no consensus regarding the best approach to management. This is the likely explanation for the apparent disparity in therapeutic approaches adopted by different centres (Figure 6). Most centres did favour a multidisciplinary team-based approach to treatment and offered either speech- and language therapy or respiratory physiotherapy. [8][20]

## **Methodological considerations**

Our study design was dictated by a pragmatic retrospective data entry process. It is therefore likely to be exposed to the limitations inherent to inaccurate data capture and selection / referral bias and thus not useful for estimating the prevalence of EILO. To mitigate against this risk, we required centres to record their data in a standardized template but despite this approach we recognize that some data was incomplete. We did mandate that all EILO diagnoses were confirmed with the gold-standard diagnostic test of CLE testing and this diagnosis was confirmed by experienced clinicians, utilizing the currently most widely employed scoring system [10]. We are careful not to generalize findings to populations outside of those patients referred to and assessed by specialist centres; the true prevalence of EILO in the general population is likely lower than our data suggest, as previously reported by Christensen et al.[5] and Johansson et al.[3]. The report highlights that CLE testing is a well-tolerated test that can be performed in children as young as 8 years of age.

A diagnosis of asthma was based on the local standard-of-care diagnostic work-up. We did not record detailed information on how the diagnosis of asthma was obtained, however all participating centres are specialist asthma centres and employ objective testing to confirm or refute a diagnosis of asthma. Due to the detail of the available data, we were unable to distinguish between asthma with EIB and asthma alone; all centres did however use EVH challenge testing or exercise challenge testing to diagnose EIB. We did not quantify the amount of treatment (e.g. number of therapy sessions) given and the treatment outcome, as this information was not available in most of the participating centres. Prospective intervention studies with valid outcome measures and a structured assessment of



symptoms using validated questionnaires are needed to develop diagnostic algorithms and assess treatment efficacy for EILO.

In conclusion, the findings from this study indicate that individuals with EILO exhibit similar demographic characteristics despite presenting with symptoms in globally distinct regions. It appears to be most frequently diagnosed in adolescence with a slight female predominance, although a small proportion of individuals appear to develop EILO in later life. Individuals with EILO are frequently misdiagnosed and thus inappropriately prescribed asthma inhalers whilst continuing to suffer from symptoms that limit their ability to exercise or maintain an active lifestyle. More work is needed now to understand the underlying risk factors and pathophysiology but also to undertake prospective multi-centre RCT studies to evaluate the best approach to treatment.

## REFERENCES

1. Nordang L, Norlander K, Walsted ES. Exercise-Induced Laryngeal Obstruction—An Overview. *Immunol Allergy Clin* 2018; 38: 271–280.
2. Halvorsen T, Walsted ES, Bucca C, Bush A, Cantarella G, Friedrich G, Herth FJF, Hull JH, Jung H, Maat R, Nordang L, Remale M, Rasmussen N, Wilson JA, Heimdal J-H. Inducible laryngeal obstruction: an official joint European Respiratory Society and European Laryngological Society statement. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50: 1602221.
3. Johansson H, Norlander K, Berglund L, Janson C, Malinovschi A, Nordvall L, Nordang L, Emtner M. Prevalence of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction and exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction in a general adolescent population. *Thorax* 2015; 70: 57.
4. Nielsen EW, Hull JH, Backer V. High Prevalence of Exercise-Induced Laryngeal Obstruction in Athletes. *Medicine Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; 45: 2030–2035.
5. Christensen PM, Thomsen SF, Rasmussen N, Backer V. Exercise-induced laryngeal obstructions: prevalence and symptoms in the general public. *Eur Arch Oto-rhino-l* 2011; 268: 1313.
6. Maat RC, Hilland M, Røksund OD, Halvorsen T, Olofsson J, Aarstad HJ, Heimdal J-H. Exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction: natural history and effect of surgical treatment. *Eur Arch Oto-rhino-l* 2011; 268: 1485–1492.
7. Maat RC, Røksund OD, Olofsson J, Halvorsen T, Skadberg BT, Heimdal J-H. Surgical treatment of exercise-induced laryngeal dysfunction. *Eur Arch Oto-rhino-l* 2007; 264: 401–407.
8. Olin JT, Deardorff EH, Fan EM, Johnston KL, Keever VL, Moore CM, Bender BG. Therapeutic laryngoscopy during exercise: A novel non - surgical therapy for refractory EILO. *Pediatr Pulm* 2017; 52: 813–819.
9. Heimdal J-H, Røksund OD, Halvorsen T, Skadberg BT, Olofsson J. Continuous Laryngoscopy Exercise Test; A Method for Visualizing Laryngeal Dysfunction during Exercise. *Laryngoscope* 2006; 116: 52–57.
10. Maat RC, Røksund OD, Halvorsen T, Skadberg BT, Olofsson J, Ellingsen TA, Aarstad HJ, Heimdal J-H. Audiovisual assessment of exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction: reliability and validity of observations. *Eur Arch Oto-rhino-l* 2009; 266: 1929–1936.
11. Christensen PM, Heimdal J-H, Christopher KL, Bucca C, Cantarella G, Friedrich G, Halvorsen T, Herth F, Jung H, Morris MJ, Remale M, Rasmussen N, Wilson JA. Obstructions ETF on IL. ERS/ELS/ACCP 2013 international consensus conference nomenclature on inducible laryngeal obstructions. *European Respir Rev* 2015; 24: 445–450.
12. Buchvald F, Phillipsen LD, Hjuler T, Nielsen KG. Exercise - induced inspiratory symptoms in school children. *Pediatr Pulm* 2016; 51: 1200–1205.

13. Wysocki J, Kielska E, Orszulak P, Reymond J. Measurements of pre- and postpubertal human larynx: a cadaver study. *Surg Radiol Anat* 2008; 30: 191–199.
14. Kippelen P, Anderson SD, Hallstrand TS. Mechanisms and Biomarkers of Exercise-Induced Bronchoconstriction. *Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America* 2018; 38: 165–182.
15. Sails J, Hull JH, Allen H, Darville L, Walsted ES, Price OJ. High prevalence of exercise-induced stridor during Parkrun: a cross-sectional field-based evaluation. *Bmj Open Respir Res* 2020; 7: e000618.
16. Olin JT, Clary MS, Fan EM, Johnston KL, State CM, Strand M, Christopher KL. Continuous laryngoscopy quantitates laryngeal behaviour in exercise and recovery. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 48: 1192–1200.
17. Walsted ES, Hull JH, Hvedstrup J, Maat RC, Backer V. Validity and reliability of grade scoring in the diagnosis of exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction. *Erj Open Res* 2017; 3: 00070–02017.
18. Rundell KW, Jenkinson DM. Exercise-Induced Bronchospasm in the Elite Athlete. *Sports Med* 2002; 32: 583–600.
19. Lee J-H, An J, Won H-K, Kang Y, Kwon H-S, Kim T-B, Cho YS, Moon H-B, Song W-J, Hull JH. Prevalence and impact of comorbid laryngeal dysfunction in asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immun* 2020; 145: 1165–1173.
20. Shaffer M, Litts JK, Nauman E, Haines J. Speech-Language Pathology as a Primary Treatment for Exercise-Induced Laryngeal Obstruction. *Immunol Allergy Clin* 2018; 38: 293–302.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

*Figure 1:* (A) Continuous Laryngoscopy Exercise testing setup: the test subject exercises on a stationary ergometer bicycle with a laryngoscope in situ, fastened to a specialist headgear. The subject performs an incremental-load exercise test to volitional maximum whilst laryngoscopic recordings are saved for subsequent review. Note the laryngoscopic image on-screen showing a healthy larynx anatomy during inspiration. (B) Laryngoscopic image (anonymized), taken during inspiration, of an individual with supraglottic EILO, i.e. medial collapse of the arytenoid and aryepiglottic mucosa. The vocal folds are not affected. (C) Laryngoscopic image, taken during inspiration, of an individual with glottic EILO, i.e. a medial movement of the vocal folds.

*Figure 2:* Age distribution in individuals with EILO, overall and by centre. Top row: overall; middle row: adult/mixed respiratory centres, bottom row: paediatric respiratory centres.

*Figure 3:* Overall gender distribution by age for individuals with confirmed EILO.

*Figure 4:* (A) EILO subtype overall and by centre; (B) EILO subtypes by age, overall.

*Figure 5:* Kernel Density Estimation plots depicting the distribution of age and symptom duration in individuals with EILO by centre. Symptom duration data from Rigshospitalet, Denmark were not available.

*Figure 6:* Treatment approaches utilized for EILO. *Exercise:* Physical exercise to improve fitness encouraged/prescribed.

## TABLES

**Table 1: Demographics, all CLE tested**

	<i>General/adult respiratory services</i>			<i>Paediatric respiratory services</i>		
	<b>Bispebjerg Hospital (DK)</b>	<b>Royal Brompton Hospital (UK)</b>	<b>Cayuga Medical Center (USA)</b>	<b>Aarhus University Hospital Skejby (DK)</b>	<b>Rigshospitalet (DK)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<i>N</i>	446	240	93	139	89	1,007
<i>Age</i> <sup>†</sup>	24 (9–72)	32 (12–76)	17 (9–70)	13 (8–24)	14 (8–17)	24 (8–76)
<i>Gender</i> <sup>#</sup>	304:142 (68%)	172:68 (71%)	70:23 (75%)	110:29 (79%)	57:32 (64%)	713:294 (71%)
<i>Body mass index</i>	23.2 ± 3.7	24.8 ± 5.0	22.5 ± 3.8	19.8 ± 3.1	20.1 ± 2.6	22.8 ± 15.1
<i>EILO</i>	218 (49%)	156 (65%)	60 (65%)	111 (80%)	41 (46%)	586 (58%)
<i>Asthma</i>	233 (52%)	82 (34%)	13 (14%)	46 (33%)	23 (26%)	397 (39%)
<i>EILO and asthma</i>	111 (25%)	54 (22%)	9 (10%)	34 (24%)	5 (6%)	213 (21%)
<b>Athlete status</b>						
<i>Non-competitive</i>	238 (53%)	32 (13%)	15 (16%)	102 (73%)	64 (72%)	451 (45%)
<i>Competitive</i>	75 (17%)	80 (33%)	69 (74%)	28 (20%)	15 (17%)	267 (26%)
<i>National/international level</i>	111 (25%)	21 (9%)	7 (8%)	9 (6%)	10 (11%)	158 (16%)
<i>Unclassifiable</i>	22 (5%)	107 (45%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	133 (13%)

Numbers are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for normally distributed continuous data and count (percent) for categorical data unless otherwise specified.

<sup>†</sup> Numbers are median years (range). <sup>#</sup> females:males (percent female).

**Table 2: Characteristics of individuals with and without EILO**

	Total ( <i>N</i> =1,007)	EILO ( <i>n</i> =586)	No EILO ( <i>n</i> =421)	<i>p</i>
<i>Age</i> <sup>†</sup>	24 (8–76)	21 (8–76)	27.5 (8–75)	* <0.001
<i>Gender</i> <sup>#</sup>	713:295 (71%)	444:142 (76%)	269:152 (64%)	* <0.001
<i>Body mass index</i>	22.8 ± 15.1	22.2 ± 14.9	23.9 ± 15.7	0.08
<i>Asthma</i>	398 (39%)	213 (36%)	185 (44%)	* 0.02
<b>Athlete status</b>				
<i>Non-competitive</i>	451 (45%)	239 (41%)	212 (50%)	* <0.001
<i>Competitive</i>	267 (26%)	192 (33%)	75 (18%)	
<i>National/international level</i>	158 (16%)	91 (16%)	67 (16%)	
<i>Unclassifiable</i>	133 (13%)	64 (11%)	67 (16%)	

Numbers are mean ± standard deviation for normally distributed continuous data and count (percent) for categorical data unless otherwise specified.

<sup>†</sup> Numbers are median years (range). \* *p* < 0.05. – not applicable. <sup>#</sup> females:males (percent female).

**Table 3: Individuals with EILO – demographics**

*General/adult respiratory services*

*Paediatric respiratory services*

	<b>Bispebjerg Hospital (DK)</b>	<b>Royal Brompton Hospital (UK)</b>	<b>Cayuga Medical Center (USA)</b>	<b>Aarhus University Hospital Skejby (DK)</b>	<b>Rigshospitalet (DK)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<i>N</i>	218	156	60	111	41	586
<i>Age</i>	21 (9–59)	28 (12–76)	16 (9–50)	13 (8–24)	13 (8–17)	21 (8–76)
<i>Gender<sup>#</sup></i>	160:58 (73%)	122:34 (78%)	50:10 (83%)	89:22 (80%)	23:18 (56%)	444:142 (76%)
<i>Body mass index</i>	22.9 ± 4.0	23.7 ± 4.3	21.9 ± 3.3	19.5 ± 2.9	19.6 ± 2.4	22.2 ± 14.9
<i>Asthma</i>	111 (51%)	54 (35%)	9 (15%)	34 (31%)	5 (12%)	213 (36%)
<b>EILO</b>						
<i>Supraglottic predominant</i>	110 (50%)	119 (76%)	14 (23%)	92 (83%)	19 (46%)	354 (60%)
<i>Glottic predominant</i>	67 (31%)	14 (9%)	7 (12%)	4 (4%)	7 (17%)	99 (18%)
<i>Glottic and supraglottic</i>	41 (19%)	11 (7%)	39 (65%)	15 (14%)	8 (20%)	114 (19%)
<i>Other</i>	0 (0%)	12 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (17%)	19 (3%)
<i>Duration of EILO symptoms</i>	24 (1–216)	24 (0–420)	22 (1–120)	24 (1–84)	-†	24 (0–420)
<b>Asthma</b>						
<i>Discontinued asthma medication</i>	30 (14%)	15 (9%)	8 (13%)	30 (27%)	36 (88%)	119 (20%)
<i>Former or current asthma medication</i>	51 (23%)	16 (10%)	20 (33%)	41 (37%)	36 (88%)	164 (28%)
<b>Sports</b>						
<i>Top five sports<sup>‡</sup></i>	Running (19%) Swimming (13%) Cycling (11%) Soccer (6%) Fitness (5%)	Running (17%) Cycling (9%) Swimming (6%) Rowing (6%) Triathlon (3%)	Running (32%) Basketball (20%) Swimming (15%) Soccer (15%) Lacrosse (12%)	Handball (27%) Soccer (18%) Swimming (10%) Gymnastics (5%) Badminton (5%)	Soccer (15%) Handball (10%) Swimming (7%) Running (5%) Basketball (5%)	Running (15%) Swimming (10%) Soccer (8%) Cycling (6%) Handball (6%)


† No data were available on symptom duration. # females:males (percent female). ‡ Numbers in parenthesis are percent of EILO positive.



A



B




COMMENT


C




# Overall




Bispebjerg Hospital (DK)




Royal Brompton Hospital (UK)




Cayuga Medical Center (USA)




Aarhus University Hospital (DK)





Rigshospitalet (DK)




Female Male




**A****B**


Bispebjerg Hospital (DK)




Royal Brompton Hospital (UK)



Cayuga Medical Center (USA)



Aarhus Univesity Hospital (DK)



# Overall

