

Early View

Original article

Non-Interventional Monitoring of Expiratory Flow Limitation during Experimental Mechanical Ventilation

Giorgos Marinakis, Michael Paraschos, Maria Patrani, Theodoros Tsoutsouras, Miltos Vassiliou

Please cite this article as: Marinakis G, Paraschos M, Patrani M, *et al.* Non-Interventional Monitoring of Expiratory Flow Limitation during Experimental Mechanical Ventilation. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00264-2020>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *ERJ Open Research*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJOR online.

Copyright ©ERS 2020. This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0.

Non-Interventional Monitoring of Expiratory Flow Limitation during Experimental Mechanical Ventilation

**Giorgos Marinakis¹, Michael Paraschos¹, Maria Patrani¹, Theodoros
Tsoutsouras¹, Miltos Vassiliou²**

Affiliation: ¹ Department of Critical Care Medicine, General Hospital of Athens, 'Korgialenio
– Benakio' Hellenic Red Cross, Athens, Greece.

² Pulmonology Department, Ioannina Medical School, Ioannina , Greece

Short Title: **Respiratory system resistance in expiratory flow limitation.**

Correspondence: Giorgos Marinakis, Department of Critical Care Medicine, General Hospital
of Athens 'Korgialenio – Benakio' Hellenic Red Cross, Athanasaki 2, 11526, Athens, Greece.

E-mail: giorgosmarinakis8@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Expiratory flow limitation (EFL) is common among ICU patients under mechanical ventilation (MV) and may have significant clinical consequences. In the present study, we examine the possibility of non-interventional detection of EFL during experimental MV.

Methods: Eight artificially ventilated New Zealand rabbits were included in the experiments. EFL was induced during MV by application of negative expiratory pressure (-5, -8 and -10 hPa) and detected by the negative expiratory pressure (NEP) technique. Airway pressure (P_{aw}) and flow (V') were digitally recorded and processed off-line for the evaluation of respiratory mechanics. The method is based on the computation and monitoring of instantaneous respiratory resistance $R_{rs}(t)$. The resistive pressure ($P_{aw,res}(t)$) is calculated by subtracting from P_{aw} its elastic component and the end expiratory pressure (EEP), as assessed by linear regression. Then, $R_{rs}(t)$ is computed as the instant ratio $P_{aw,res}(t) / V'(t)$.

Results: Two completely different patterns of expiratory $R_{rs}(t)$ separate the cases with EFL from those without EFL. Small and random fluctuations are noticed when EFL is absent, whereas the onset of EFL is accompanied by an abrupt and continuous rise in $R_{rs}(t)$, towards the end of expiration. Thus, EFL is not only detected but may also be quantified from the volume still to be expired at the time EFL occurs.

Conclusion: The proposed technique is a simple, accurate and non-interventional tool for the EFL monitoring during MV.

Keywords: Expiratory flow limitation; Mechanical ventilation; Respiratory system elastance; Respiratory system resistance.

Introduction

Detection of expiratory flow limitation (EFL) is crucial during mechanical ventilation (MV), because of its adverse effects on respiration and cardiovascular function [1-7]. Dynamic hyperinflation and increase of mean alveolar pressure are the net result of air trapping due to EFL. Increase of the necessary work of breathing to trigger assisted mode ventilation is also accompanying EFL. EFL may cause or aggravate uneven distribution of inspired gas. Therefore, EFL continuous detection may help for early and better adjustments of ventilatory setting and constants in order to confront its unpleasant side effects.

Previous studies proposed different techniques for detection of EFL during MV. Their common characteristic is the induction of variation of the expiratory pressure, such as the alteration of PEEP [8,9], the expiratory circuit resistance [10,11] or the application of a negative expiratory pressure (NEP), which became the gold standard for EFL detection [12], with a wide applicability in most experimental and clinical research. The NEP technique does not require performance of FVC (Forced Vital Capacity) maneuvers, collaboration on the part of the patient or use of a body-box [55,56]. Comparison of expiratory flow under different driving expiratory pressures is the clue for the diagnosis of EFL. Such a maneuver is rather easy under conditions of spontaneous breathing, but it is hardly practical during mechanical ventilation. Furthermore, all these methods require some intervention in the regulation of ventilation, while they are not suitable for continuous monitoring [5].

We firstly proposed (Vassiliou et al, *Eur Respir J* 1996) that strongly negative values of expiratory reactance, recognized with the aid of the forced oscillation technique (FOT) method suggest the presence of EFL with high sensitivity and specificity during experimental MV [13]. Since then, newer investigations confirmed the validity and usefulness of the proposed technique, which is easier to apply during spontaneous breathing than during mechanically assisted ventilation [13-23].

Other studies have focused on respiratory system resistance (R_{rs}) and have shown that a pronounced negative volume dependence of resistance characterizes the presence of EFL during MV [24-26]. These methods have been proved accurate for detecting EFL but none of them offers a quantitative measure of the disorder, e.g. the volume left to be expired, when EFL is established.

The method evaluated in this study does not require any change in the mechanical ventilation and enables cycle by cycle detection of EFL. It is based on the computation and monitoring of instantaneous respiratory resistance ($R_{rs}(t)$).

Methods

Experiments

Eight New Zealand rabbits (2.1-2.5 kg) were mechanically ventilated at 50 breaths/min and tidal volume (VT) of 25 ml. The animals were already anaesthetized with sodium thiopental (15-20 mg/kg, iv), tracheostomized and muscle relaxed with vecuronium bromide (0.8 mg/kg, followed by continuous iv infusion of 0.4 mg/kg/h) immediately after their connection to the ventilator. The same type of endotracheal tube (ET) was used in all experiments (55mm length and 3.8mm internal diameter) with an in vitro determined resistance of 7.41 hPa.s.L⁻¹. All experiments have been performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki conventions for the use and care of animals in biomedical research. Experimental data were recorded during previous studies of the last author (2) during his scientific stage in Unité 14 de Physiopathologie Respiratoire, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, (INSERM), Unité14, Université H. Poincaré Nancy I, 54500 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France (1994-1996), under the guidance of the late Resné Peslin.

Ventilator and measuring structure

A computer-driven ventilator, built in the laboratory, was used for the experimental mechanical ventilation [45]. Two rubber bellows were separately controlling inspiration and expiration, permitting the modification of expiratory driving pressure without interruption of ventilation. Expiratory pressure was randomly set at 0, -5, -8 and -10 hPa during measurements, while setting of the expiratory pressure at 5 hPa permitted reinflation of the experimental animals between measurements in order to avoid and/or correct atelectasis.

Flow (V') was measured with a Fleisch No. 00 pneumotachograph connected to a piezoresistive differential pressure transducer (Honeywell 176/14), and airways pressure (P_{aw}) with a similar transducer. The two transducers were matched for amplitude ($\pm 2\%$) and phase ($\pm 2^\circ$) up to 15 Hz. The signals were digitized at a sampling rate of 180 Hz using a computer equipped with 12-bit analogue-to-digital & digital-to-analogue (AD/DA) conversion board (PCLab, Digimétrie). Simultaneously the computer was used to control the pressure and flow delivered by the ventilator [45]. A schematic representation of the experimental set-up is depicted in Fig.1.

At each level of EEP three consecutive recordings of Paw and V' during six respiratory cycles were performed. In one of the six cycles of the first recording the expiratory pressure was further lowered by 5 hPa (NEP cycle) in order to assess if EFL was present [43] (Fig 2). The presence of EFL at a given level of EEP was determined by comparing the flow-volume relationship of the NEP cycle to that of the preceding cycle. When EFL was present (V' unchanged by lowering EEP) the fraction of the VT left to be expired at the onset of EFL (V_{EFL}) was measured.

Data analysis

The recorded data were processed and analyzed off-line with the aid of a specifically developed software. The flow signal was corrected for a 5% offset of the mean unsigned flow (Peslin et al., *Eur Respir J* 1994), which was found satisfactory in order to correct the volume drift. Volume (V) was calculated by numerical integration of flow (V'), through the software and the pressure signal was corrected for the pressure drop along the ET. Data of Paw, V', and V were analyzed by multiple linear regression on a cycle per cycle basis according to the linear model equation:

$$Paw(t) = E_{rs} \times V(t) + R_{rs} \times V'(t) + EEP \quad (1)$$

where E_{rs} and R_{rs} represent the respiratory system elastance and resistance respectively and EEP corresponds to the Paw value at the end of the expiration (nil V and V').

E_{rs} and EEP values were averaged for the six consecutive cycles included in the same file since inter-cycle variation was found to be no higher than 4%.

The resistive component of Paw was calculated according to:

$$Paw, res(t) = Paw(t) - E_{rs} \times V(t) - EEP \quad (2)$$

From (2) the instant values of resistance were calculated as:

$$R_{rs}(t) = Paw, res(t) / V'(t) \quad (3)$$

Results

Graphical presentation of recorded and calculated physical quantities of mechanical ventilation are actually depicted in Fig. 2. Calculation of Rrs on each data sample permitted the construction of the resistance-time (Rrs-t) curve. Our results concerning experimental data are graphically depicted in Fig. 3. Signals on the upper part refer to a case without EFL, while signals on the lower part correspond to a case with EFL provoked with the application of a negative expiratory pressure of -8 hPa. Measurements in both cases are those including the NEP cycle to assess if EFL was present or not. The EFL issue is supported by the partial overlapping of the expiratory V'-V curves.

Careful observation of the Rrs-t signals reveals that:

- a) The Rrs-t signal is rather constant during the major part of both the inspiratory and the expiratory phases in the case without EFL. The only actual fluctuation of Rrs-t corresponds to the metaptosis from inspiration to expiration, as logically expected. Some 'irregular' variations of very short duration can also be observed at the late expiratory phase corresponding to very low values of V'. A similar configuration of Rrs-t signal was observed in all cases without EFL.
- b) An abrupt rise of expiratory Rrs(t) is observed whenever EFL was present. This expiratory Rrs(t) increase coincides with the onset of EFL as detected on the V'-V diagram with the aid of a cursor indicator. The onset of EFL was synchronously detected by the NEP technique as well as by the Rrs-t inflexion point and this observation was always confirmed with the aid of a digital point cursor moving along curves and signals. This was a facility incorporated in the analysis software, developed by the last author.

According to our results, the distinct and characteristic configuration of the expiratory Rrs-t signal permitted the recognition of EFL with a 100% sensitivity and specificity and the accurate quantification of EFL - through V_{EFL} - according to the NEP technique. Table 1 presents the noted values of $\%V_{EFL}$ as percent of the applied VT in every experimental case and at all levels of applied expiratory pressure.

Discussion

Monitoring of expiratory flow limitation during mechanical ventilation is particularly important. EFL does not simply mean increased respiratory system resistance. It is a specific respiratory disorder with serious impact on respiratory and circulatory functions [1-7]. Furthermore, EFL monitoring confronts certain difficulties during MV, which underline the severity of the “EFL issue”.

A large amount of previous studies have focused their interest on EFL detection in experimental and clinical MV [5]. The proposed methods are actual tests of airflow variability under different techniques of varying expiratory pressure. Changing the level of applied PEEP, adding or removing external resistance to the expiratory circuit, by-passing the expiratory circuit of the ventilator to the atmosphere and using negative expiratory pressure are some of the already proposed techniques with the latter (NEP method) being established as the gold standard for EFL detection during mechanical ventilation or even spontaneous respiration, as it is proved independent of body position without additional demands for patients’ cooperation [5,8-12]. The diagnostic cornerstone of all the above-mentioned techniques is the comparison of two consecutive expiratory flow signals: one ordinary and one specifically devised for the EFL detection test. Therefore, they require transient alteration of the ventilatory settings and are not suitable for continuous monitoring.

The forced oscillation technique has been proved suitable for EFL monitoring without any intervention to ventilator’s settings and circuits [25]. Large negative variations of respiratory system reactance during expiration are highly sensitive and specific index of EFL presence during experimental and clinical ventilation [25,36]. The method is highly sensitive and specific, and its applications become more and more popular in clinical practice, especially during spontaneous breathing [29-35].

A strongly negative volume dependence of resistance estimated with the aid of non linear regression analysis is also indicative of EFL during artificial ventilation [26-28]. The method is easily applicable with the aid of applied informatics and suitable for continuous EFL detection, but it does not offer a quantitative EFL diagnosis. Therefore, changes of EFL severity expressed as increased or decreased part of tidal volume under EFL could not be recognized by these methods, which offer a partial monitoring for EFL.

The presently proposed method for the detection of expiratory flow limitation during experimental MV is based on the assumption of “constant” elastance throughout the respiratory cycle permitting thus the construction of the resistance-time signal. Of course, this assumption is arbitrary since volume dependence of elastance is recognized during MV even in subjects without any underlying respiratory disorder and much more, in COPD or ARDS [38-44]. We used the linear regression analysis to calculate the constant elastance and the end expiratory pressure. The method is widely used during MV [36,37,40,43] and one of its important advantages is the synchronous calculation of both Ers and EEP.

An important advantage of LRA is the synchronous evaluation of its reliability according to the standard error of estimation, corresponding to the Root Mean Square Difference. In most cases of MV the RMSD has been proved satisfactory, according to previous studies [37,48]. Therefore, the use of LRA may disregard important dependences of respiratory mechanics on volume and flow. These non-linearities have been recognized in previous studies. Nevertheless, the clinically acceptable applicability of LRA as a very informative tool is also recognized [37-45]. Linear regression has been proved satisfactory even in cases of unsedated patients under MV [57].

Any other methodological approach for the evaluation of these mechanical parameters (end-inspiratory pause (EIP), Fourier Analysis (FA) is also acceptable [39,46-50]. LRA is preferable as more robust technique since it is not based on a few points (moments) of the respiratory cycle, as the End-Inspiratory Pressure method but on a large number of them according to the relatively high data acquisition frequency (180 Hz) of our experiments. Furthermore LRA as a method applied on the time domain incorporates the influence of higher harmonic terms of the P_{ao} and V' , which is omitted during FA applied on the ventilatory frequency [48,49]. In any case, the use of a single stable value of elastance during the whole respiratory cycle is very common in experimental and clinical MV and this the clue of our algorithm, in order to reveal EFL. Indeed, under these conditions, the expiratory limb of the resistance-time curve (R_{rs-t}) offers the possibility not only of EFL detection, but -what is most important- of EFL quantification, as it describes the pathophysiological sequence of it. The onset of EFL is easily recognized by an inflection point on the R_{rs-t} followed by a continuous rise, which reflects a further increase of resistance after EFL installation. A progressive airway collapse is responsible for a much

higher resistance increase when EFL is present, than in its absence [52-54]. The increasing resistance from EFL onset towards the end of expiration was previously recognized as negative volume dependence of resistance [26]. Now, this severe non-linearity of resistance appears directly on the Rrs-t and permits a complete EFL detection.

The accuracy of the proposed technique is confirmed by the well-established NEP technique, while its non-intervention with ventilator's settings and circuits renders it quite suitable for EFL monitoring during mechanical ventilation. It is based on a simple computational algorithm, with modest requirements of infrastructure. Actually, the possibility of data acquisition and analysis appears more and more often in modern ventilators and the required software adaptation for monitoring Rrs(t) are really minimum. Further study, during clinical mechanical ventilation is necessary to establish the validity of the proposed technique and its usefulness in clinical practice, where rapid therapeutic interventions (e.g. altering I/E ratio, application of PEEP, administration of bronchodilators) are necessary and crucial in COPD and ARDS patients in order to relief from detrimental consequences of expiratory flow limitation.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

1. Pepe PE, Marini JJ. Occult positive end-expiratory pressure in mechanically-ventilated patients with airflow obstruction: the auto-PEEP effect. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1982; 126:166-170.
2. Milic-Emili J, Gottfried SB, Rossi A. Dynamic hyperinflation: intrinsic PEEP and its ramifications in patients with respiratory failure. *In: Vincent JL, ed. Intensive Care Medicine* 1987; 192-198.
3. Smith TC, Marini JJ. Impact of PEEP on lung mechanics and work of breathing in severe airflow obstruction. *J Appl Physiol* 1988; 65:1488-1499.
4. Tantucci C. Expiratory flow limitation definition, mechanisms, methods, and significance. *Pulm Med*. 2013.

5. Junhasavasdikul D., Telias I, Luca Grieco D., Chen L., Millan Gutierrez C., Piraino T, Brochard L. Expiratory Flow Limitation During Mechanical Ventilation. *Chest* 2018; 154(4):948-962.
6. Koutsoukou A., Pecchiari M. Expiratory flow-limitation in mechanically ventilated patients: A risk for ventilator-induced lung injury? *World J Crit Care Med* 2019 January 23; 8(1): 1-8.
7. Alberto Volta C., Dalla Corte F., Ragazzi R., Marangoni E., Fogagnolo A., Scaramuzzo G., Luca Grieco D., Alvisi V., Rizzuto C., Spadaro S. Expiratory flow limitation in intensive care: prevalence and risk factors. *Critical Care* (2019) 23:395.
8. Pepe PE, Marini JJ. Occult positive end-expiratory pressure in mechanically-ventilated patients with airflow obstruction: the auto-PEEP effect. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1982; 126: 166-170.
9. Gottfried SB, Rossi A, Higgs BD, Calverley MA , Zocchi K, Bosic C, Milic Emili J Noninvasive determination of respiratory system mechanics during mechanical ventilation for acute respiratory failure. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985; 131: 414-420.
10. Rossi A, Brandolese R, Milic-Emili J, Gottfried SB. The role of PEEP in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease during assisted ventilation. *Eur Respir J* 1990; 3: 818-822.
11. Kimball WR, Leith DE, Robins AG. Dynamic hyper-inflation and ventilator dependence in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1982; 126: 991-995.
12. Valta P Corbeil C, Lavoie A, Campodonico R, Koulouris N, Chasse M, Braidy J, Milic-Emili J. Detection of Expiratory Flow Limitation During Mechanical Ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994; 150-1311-1317.

13. Vassiliou M, Peslin R, Saunier C, Duvivier C. Expiratory flow limitation during mechanical ventilation detected by the forced oscillation method. *Eur Respir J* 1996; 9:779-786.
14. Peslin R, Farre R, Rotger M and Navajas D. Effect of expiratory flow limitation on respiratory mechanical impedance: a model study. *J. Appl Physiol.* 1996; 81(6): 2399-2406.
15. E. Oostveen, D. MacLeod, H. Lorino, R. Farre', Z. Hantos, K. Desager, F. Marchal. The forced oscillation technique in clinical practice: methodology, recommendations and future developments. *Eur Respir J* 2003; 22: 1026–1041.
16. Farre R, Navajas D. Assessment of expiratory flow limitation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a new approach. *Eur Respir J.* 2004; 23(2):187-8.
17. Nielsen K.G. Forced oscillation technique. *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews* (2006) 7S, S8–S10.
18. Dellacá R.L., Duffy N., Pompilio P.P., Aliverti A., Koulouris N.G. , Pedotti A., Calverley P.M.A. Expiratory flow limitation detected by forced oscillation and negative expiratory pressure. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29: 363–374.
19. Koulouris N.G., Hardavella G. Physiological techniques for detecting expiratory flow limitation during tidal breathing. *Eur Respir Rev* 2011; 20: 121, 147–155.
20. Koulouris N. G., Kaltsakas G, Palamidas A. F., Gennimata S. Methods for Assessing Expiratory Flow Limitation during Tidal Breathing in COPD Patients. *Pulm Med.* 2012; 2012: 234145.
21. Shirai T., Kurosawa H. Clinical Application of the Forced Oscillation Technique. *Intern Med.* 2016;55(6):559-66.

22. Nilsen K., Gove K., Thien F., Wilkinson T., Thompson B.R. Comparison of two methods of determining lung de-recruitment, using the forced oscillation technique. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2018 Oct; 118(10):2213-2224.
23. Shirai T., Hirai K., Gon Y., Maruoka S., Mizumura K., Hikichi M., Itoh K., Hashimoto S. Forced Oscillation Technique May Identify asthma-COPD Overlap. *Allergol Int*. 2019 Jul; 68(3):385-387.
24. Kaditis AG, Venkataraman ST, Zin WA, Motoyama EK. Partitioning of respiratory system resistance in children with respiratory insufficiency. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 159(2): 389-96.
25. Officer TM, Pellegrino R, Brusasco V, Rodarte JR. Measurement of pulmonary resistance and dynamic compliance with airway obstruction. *J Appl Physiol* 1998; 85(5): 1982-1988.
26. M. Vassiliou, C. Saunier, C. Duvivier, P. Behrakis, R. Peslin. Volume dependence of Respiratory System Resistance during Artificial Ventilation in Rabbits. *Intensive Care Med* 27: 896-904, 2001.
27. Frantzeskaki F., Betrosian A., Amygdalou A., Vassileiou M., Behrakis P. Linear and nonlinear analysis of respiratory resistance in ARDS patients. *Crit Care*. 2005; 9(Suppl 1): P101.
28. Vassiliou M., Amygdalou A., Koubaniou C., Katsanos C., Vassiliou P., Lihros I., Peslin R., Behrakis P. Detection of expiratory flow limitation during experimental mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care* 2006; 10(Suppl 1): P32.
29. Cavalcanti J.V., Lopes A.J., Jansen J.M., De Melo P.L. Using the forced oscillation technique to evaluate bronchodilator response in healthy volunteers and in asthma patients presenting a verified positive response. *J Bras Pneumol* 2006;32(2):91-8.

30. Faria A., Lopes A.J., Jansen J.M., Melo P.L. Evaluating the forced oscillation technique in the detection of early smoking-induced respiratory changes. *BioMedical Engineering OnLine* 2009, 8:22.
31. Kaczka D.W., Dellacá R.L. Oscillation Mechanics of the Respiratory System: Applications to Lung Disease. *Crit Rev Biomed Eng* 2011; 39(4):337-59.
32. Skylogianni E., Douros K., Anthracopoulos M.B., Fouzas S. The Forced Oscillation Technique in Paediatric Respiratory Practice. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2016 Mar;18:46-51.
33. Alblooshi A., Alkalbani A., Albadi G., Narchi H., Hall G. Is forced oscillation technique the next respiratory function test of choice in childhood asthma. *World J Methodol* 2017 December 26; 7(4): 129-138.
34. Shirai T, et al. Forced oscillation technique may identify severe asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2019 Nov-Dec;7(8):2857-2860.
35. Goorsenberg A., S.d'Hooghe J., Slats A., van den Aardweg J., Annema J., Bonta P. Resistance of the respiratory system measured with forced oscillation technique (FOT) correlates with bronchial thermoplasty response. *Respiratory Research* (2020) 21:52.
36. Nicolai T, Lanteri C, Freezer N, Sly PD. Non-invasive determination of alveolar pressure during mechanical ventilation. *Eur Respir J* 1991; 4:1275-1283.
37. Rousselot JM, Peslin R, Duvivier C. Evaluation of the Multiple Linear Regression Method to Monitor Respiratory Mechanics in Ventilated Neonates and Young Children. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1992; 13: 161-168.
38. Bernasconi M, Ploysongsang Y, Gottfried SB, Milic-Emili J, Eossi A. Respiratory compliance and resistance in mechanically ventilated patients with acute respiratory failure. *Intensive Care Med* 1988, 14:547-553.

39. Eissa NT, Ranieri VM, Corbeil C, Chassé M, Robatto FM, Braidy J, Milic-Emili J. Analysis of behavior of the respiratory system in ARDS patients: effects of flow volume, and time. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1991, 70(6): 2719-2729.
40. M.P. Vassiliou, L. Petri, A. Amygdalou, M. Patrani, C. Psarakis, D. Nikolaki, G. Georgiadis, P.K. Behrakis. Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Pressure and Flow during Mechanical ventilation. *Intensive Care Med* 2000, 26:1057-1064.
41. Mauri T., Lazzeri M., Bellani G., Zanella A., Grasselli G. Respiratory Mechanics to Understand ARDS and Guide Mechanical Ventilation. *Physiol Meas* 2017, 30;38(12).
42. Henderson W., Chen L., Amato M., Brochard L. Respiratory Mechanics in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017 Oct 1;196(7):822-833.
43. Vassiliou M.P., Amygdalou A., Psarakis C.J., Dalavanga Y., Vassiliou P.M., Mandragos K.E., Constantopoulos S.H., Behrakis P.K. Volume and flow dependence of respiratory mechanics in mechanically ventilated COPD patients. *Resp. Physiol. Neur.* 1993, 135:87-96.
44. Ceriana P., Vitacca M., Carlucci A., Paneroni M., Pisani L., Nava S. Changes of Respiratory Mechanics in COPD Patients From Stable State to Acute Exacerbations With Respiratory Failure. *COPD* 2017 Apr;14(2):150-155.
45. Peslin R, Felicio da Silva J, Duvivier C, Chabot. F. Respiratory mechanics studied by forced oscillations during artificial ventilation. *Eur Respir J* 1993, 6:772-784.
46. Baconnier PF, Carry PY, Eberhard A, Perdrix JP, Fagnoli JM. A computer program for automatic measurement of respiratory mechanics in artificially ventilated patients. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 1995; 47:205-20.

47. Barberis L, Manno E, Guerin C. Effect of end-inspiratory pause duration on plateau pressure in mechanically ventilated patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2003 Jan;29(1):130-4.
48. Peslin R, Gallina C, Saunier C, Duvivier C. Fourier analysis versus multiple linear regression to analyse pressure-flow data during artificial ventilation. *Eur Respir J* 1994, 7: 2241-2245.
49. Amygdalou A., Psarakis C., Vassiliou P., Dalavanga Y.A., Mandragos C., Constantopoulos S.H., Behrakis P.K., Vassiliou M.P. Evaluation of the end-expiratory pressure by multiple linear regression and Fourier analysis in humans. *Respiratory Medicine* 2002, 96 (7): 499-505.
50. Ruiz-Ferron F, Rucabado Aguilar L, Ruiz Navarro S, Ramirez Puerta R, Parra Alonso S, Munoz Munoz JL. . Results of respiratory mechanics analysis in the critically ill depend on the method employed. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; 27(9):1487-95.
51. Mead, J., and J. L. Whittenberger. Physical properties of human lungs measured during spontaneous respiration. *J. Appl. Physiol* 1953, 5: 779–796.
52. Mead J, Turner JM, Macklem PT, Little JB. Significance of the relationship between lung recoil and maximum expiratory flow. *J Appl Physiol* 1967; 22(1): 95-108.
53. Mead J. Expiratory flow limitation: a physiologist point of view. *Federation Proc* 1980; 39: 2771-2775.
54. Peslin R, Saunier C, Duvivier C, Marchand M. Analysis of Low-Frequency Lung Impedance in Rabbits with Non-Linear Models. *J Appl Physiol* 1995; 79(3): 771-80.
55. Koulouris N.G., Hardavella G. Physiological techniques for detecting expiratory flow limitation during tidal breathing. *Eur Respir Rev* 2011; 20: 121, 147–155.

56. Armaganidis A., Stavrakaki-Kallergi K., Koutsoukou A., Lymberis A., Milic-Emili J., Roussos Ch. Intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure in mechanically ventilated patients with and without tidal expiratory flow limitation. *Crit Care Med* 2000 Dec;28(12):3837-42
57. Peslin R, da Silva JF, Chabot F, Duvivier C. Respiratory mechanics studied by multiple linear regression in unsedated ventilated patients. *Eur Respir J*. 1992 Jul;5(7):871-8

Table 1. Quantification of EFL as % of VT left to be expired at the moment of its onset as equivalently detected by the NEP technique and the specifically noted inflexion point on the Rrs-t graph at each level of applied Expiratory Pressure (EP) in hPa. The numbered columns present the %V_{EFL} findings of the eight experimental animals. (-) denotes the absence of EFL.


EP	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-5	15	-	11	20	10	11	11	10
-8	21	18	23	30	18	12	22	15
-10	22	21	24	31	25	17	26	23





FIGURES FOR ILLUSTRATIONS

Fig. 1. Diagrammatic illustration of the measuring, the recording and the driving the experimental artificial ventilation components (Pt: Pneumotachograph, V' : Flow, Pao: Airways opening pressure).

Fig. 2. Records of pressure, flow, volume and flow-volume signals of five consecutive cycles. The records are used as reference for the recognition of EFL with the NEP method. The NEP cycles are indicated. *Above*: no EFL is recognized. *Below*: EFL is detected.

Fig. 3. Airway pressure, flow, volume and Rrs-t signals of a record without expiratory flow limitation (*above*) and another where expiratory flow limitation was diagnosed by the NEP method (*below*). The arrow in the first cycle below indicates the onset of Rrs(t) increase.









Fig. 3