Early View

Original article

Asthma in South African adolescents: a time trend and risk factor analysis over two decades

C.B. Baard, Z. Franckling-Smith, J. Munro, L. Workman, H.J. Zar

Please cite this article as: Baard CB, Franckling-Smith Z, Munro J, *et al*. Asthma in South African adolescents: a time trend and risk factor analysis over two decades. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; in press (https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00576-2020).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *ERJ Open Research*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJOR online.

Copyright ©ERS 2020. This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0.

Asthma in South African adolescents: a time trend and risk factor analysis over two decades

Baard CB, Franckling-Smith Z, Munro J, Workman L, Zar HJ

University of Cape Town, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital, and the SA-MRC Unit on Child and Adolescent Health, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Corresponding Author:

Heather Zar

Address: Room 513, ICH Building, Red Cross Children's Hospital, Klipfontein Road, Rondebosch, 7700,

South Africa Tel: 021-658-5324

Fax: 021-689-1287

Email: heather.zar@uct.ac.za

Summary:

Amongst South African adolescents, the prevalence of asthma is high, with increasing rates of severe asthma between 1995 and 2017. Smoking, poor living conditions and exposure to pets or air pollution are environmental risk factors. Under diagnosis is a major concern.

Abstract

Background: South Africa has undergone major economic and health system changes impacting the epidemiology of childhood asthma. This study aimed to investigate prevalence time-trends of asthma in South African adolescents over 2 decades and to identify associated risk-factors.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted in 2017, in a randomized sample of 13-14 year-old Cape Town adolescents, using the standardized Global Asthma Network written, video, and environmental questionnaires. Using time-trend analysis, the prevalence and severity of asthma were compared with data from the 2002 ISAAC phase III study. Environmental and social risk-factors were analysed.

Results: 3979 adolescents were included. Prevalence of lifetime and current asthma were 34.5% and 21.3%, respectively, on the self-report written questionnaire, similar to 2002 results. The prevalence of severe asthma in the last 12 months increased, measured by wheeze limiting speech (7.8% to 11.8%), \geq 4 attacks of wheezing (5.0% to 5.8%) or \geq 1 night/week waking from wheezing (5.0% to 6.9%). The video questionnaire revealed increases in lifetime (16.9% to 22.5%), current (11.2% to 18.7%), or severe asthma (12.1% to 14.8%). Multivariate analysis showed associations between current asthma and smoking, female sex, pet exposure or higher socioeconomic status. Severe asthma was associated with smoking, pet exposure, outdoor pollution exposure or informal housing. 33% of those with severe or current asthma had been diagnosed.

Conclusion: The prevalence of asthma is high, with increasing rates of severe asthma in adolescents. Underdiagnosis is a major concern and reduction in exposure to environmental factors, particularly smoking, and improved socioeconomic development are needed.

Introduction

Asthma is the most common chronic disease in children, and a major contributor to childhood morbidity[1-3], impacting on physical, psychological and social aspects of life.[4] Accumulating data has shown a rising prevalence of childhood asthma in low-middle income countries (LMICs) in both urban and rural areas.[2,5,6] In addition, disease severity has been found to be higher in children living in LMICs than in high income populations.[7] Resource constraints, resulting in inadequate diagnosis and follow up of childhood asthma, as well as lack of availability of essential asthma drugs in the setting of rapid urbanisation, environmental changes, poverty and sub-standard living conditions are challenges frequently faced by populations in LMICs, potentially contributing to this burden of disease.[8]

The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) provided the most comprehensive data on the epidemiology of childhood asthma and changes over time.[9] Data from Cape Town showed a substantial increase in asthma prevalence in adolescents as measured by self-reported current wheeze, from 16% in 1995 (ISAAC I) to 20.3% in 2002 (ISAAC III)[9], which was higher than the global prevalence of 14.1%.[3] The proportion diagnosed with asthma during these periods was 13.3% and 14.4% respectively. Underdiagnosis of childhood asthma was commonly reported in ISAAC III in LMICs, with as many as 50% of cases of asthma being undiagnosed.[3] Further, the prevalence of severe asthma was much higher in LMICs with up to 30% of children with asthma reporting severe symptoms. Consistent with this, data from Cape Town showed that amongst children with asthma symptoms, approximately half had symptoms of severe, uncontrolled asthma.[9,10]

From 2002 onwards, South Africa experienced profound changes in society and policies with strengthening of health care provision, rapid urbanisation and improved access to basic amenities such as water, sanitation and electricity as well as basic education.[11,12] However, challenges such as poverty, unemployment, socioeconomic inequity and sub-optimal health systems continued to negatively impact on health.[11,13] The aim of this study was to investigate time trends in the prevalence of asthma in South African adolescents from 2002 to 2017, and to identify risk factors associated with asthma or asthma severity.

Methods

Study Design

A cross-sectional survey of 13 and 14 year old school going adolescents in Cape Town, South Africa using the standardised written and video questionnaires developed by the Global Asthma Network (GAN; a follow on study to ISAAC), and an environmental questionnaire, was conducted from 1 June to 31 October 2017. These results were compared to those from the ISAAC phase III study (2002) done in the same area of Cape Town over the same season, to identify changes in asthma prevalence or severity and associated risk factors.

Population

Public and private schools with learners aged 13 and 14 years within the Cape Town metropolitan area were randomized and consecutively selected until the required sample size was achieved. Schools varied by language of instruction; English, isiXhosa, and Afrikaans. Inclusion criteria for

participants were: all pupils from selected schools who were age 13 to 14 years during the data collection period with a signed assent form. Each school was visited two to three times to ensure data from eligible children that could not be surveyed at the first visit was captured.

Methods

Questionnaires were self-administered in the language preference of each learner, according to a standardised manual developed by GAN.[14] The questionnaires were translated from English into isiXhosa and Afrikaans, and were validated through back translation. The environmental questionnaire was adapted for local use; this environmental questionnaire was developed by the ISAAC steering committee and previously used by our group in ISAAC 3. It included questions about diet, height, weight, heating and cooking fuels, exercise, pets, family size, tobacco smoke and pollution exposure and socioeconomic status (SES) including variables previously validated including parental employment, home type, and number of household items.[6] As with ISAAC, the written questionnaire was completed first, followed by the environmental questionnaire and then the video questionnaire. The current questionnaires were administered during the same season (autumn and winter) as ISAAC III to control for seasonality. Following completion of the questionnaires, the weight and height of each child were measured by trained study staff using the Charder Medical Portable Digital Scale (HEBEI, Charder Electronic Co, Inc., Taiwan) and the Charder Portable Stadiometer (HM200P, Charder Electronic Co, Inc., Taiwan).

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa. An opt-out method of consent was used for parents. Assent was obtained from each participant. Approval was obtained from the Department of Education, Western Cape, and from the principal of each participating school.

Statistical analysis

The study aimed to enrol 3500 participants, as this sample size was required to detect yearly changes of at least 0.5% in the prevalence of asthma compared to ISAAC III with a power of 90% and 95 percent confidence.

As per GAN methodology,[14] each response was treated independently, and lifetime asthma was defined as a positive response to ever having wheezing or whistling in the chest, whereas current asthma was defined by having experienced wheezing in the past 12-months on the written questionnaires.[14] On the video questionnaire, lifetime or current asthma (within last 12 months) were defined as a positive response to experiencing symptoms depicted on any of the first four video sequences.

Severe asthma, was defined according to GAN and ISAAC,[14] as a positive response to one of the following in the past 12-months on the written questionnaire: 1) four or more attacks of wheezing; 2) woken by wheeze on one or more nights per week; 3) wheezing severe enough to limit speech to only one or two words at a time, between breaths. Severe asthma on the video questionnaire was defined as a positive response to a person at rest experiencing wheeze limiting speech (Scene Five) as per GAN guidelines.[14]

Outdoor pollution was measured by responses to a frequency question related to trucks passing by the respondent's home. Responses of "frequently", or "almost the whole day" were classified as being exposed to outdoor pollution.[14]

Socioeconomic status (SES) was assessed using a list of 10 common household items, adapted from an SES scoring system used in The South African Stress and Health study.[15] The median number of assets reported by the surveyed population was 6 assets and a cut point was established. Lower SES was defined as a household with 6 or fewer assets and higher SES defined as a household with 7 or more.

Data were entered using REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) electronic data capture tools. As part of the data quality control process, a random 10 percent sample was used for independent double data entry. The original database containing all entries and the sample were compared using STATA version 14.2 (College Station, Texas, USA). The process resulted in an error rate of 0.3%, within standard allowance for acceptable error.

The data for this study was analysed using STATA version 13.0 (College Station, Texas, USA). Differences in disease prevalence were investigated using logistic regression, odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Risk factors were identified through univariate and multivariate logistic regression. Results were compared to those from the ISAAC III study to reveal time trends over the period.

Results

There were 4234 participants, of whom 3979 (94%) met the age eligibility criteria. Of these, 1731 (43.5%) were male (**Table 1**); the demographic profile of the sample was representative of the current official population profile of Cape Town.[16] Environmental exposures that were highly prevalent included outdoor pollution (33.5%), maternal smoking (24.7%), paternal smoking (35.2%), and participant self-reported current smoking (8.8%). Exposure to pets was common occurring in 68.1% (**Table 1**). Measures of lower SES included living in an informal dwelling (10.5%) and having fewer than 7 household assets (49.6%) (**Table 1**). Most participants (94.8%) attended public schools, as occurs in South Africa; attendance at private schools are largely adolescents from higher SES populations. On measurement of anthropometry, 18.8% of participants were obese as defined by a body mass index (BMI) of > 25 (table 1).

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Category	Total n=3979
Male	1731 (43.5)
Ancestry	
Black African	1699 (42.7)
Caucasian	463 (11.6)
Mixed ancestry	1683 (42.3)
Other	134 (3.4)
School type	
Public	3711 (94.8)

Private	208 (5.2)		
Highest level of Maternal Education			
Primary school	298 (7.5)		
Secondary	2002 (50.3)		
Tertiary	1597 (40.1)		
Not reported	82 (2.1)		
Previous TB Treatment	279 (7.0)		
Outdoor Pollution	1334 (33.5)		
Self-reported smoking (current)	348 (8.8)		
Maternal smoking	982 (24.7)		
Paternal smoking	1402 (35.2)		
Wood used for heating or cooking	288 (7.2)		
Exposure to pets	2711 (68.1)		
Mother or father employed	3523 (88.5)		
Home Type- informal home	417 (10.5)		
Household Assets (< 7 items)	1975 (49.6)		
Body Mass Index (BMI)			
Less than 18.5	1105 (27.8)		
18.5 to 24.99	2119 (53.2)		
Above 25	747 (18.8)		
Not reported	8 (0.2)		

Prevalence of symptoms of asthma by self-reported questionnaire

The prevalence of self-reported lifetime (34.5%) or current asthma (21.3%) did not increase significantly from ISAAC III (**Table 2**). However, the prevalence of severe asthma increased significantly as measured by night waking due to wheezing from 5.0% to 6.9% (OR = 1.41 [1.18-1.68]), wheeze limiting speech increasing from 7.8% to 11.8% (OR = 1.57 [1.36-1.8]) and 4 or more attacks of wheezing in the past year from 5% to 5.8% (or 1.15 [0.96-1.39]. The proportion of adolescents diagnosed with asthma increased from 14.4% to 16.6% (OR = 1.18 [1.05-1.33]). However, of those with current asthma, only 284 (33.5%) had been diagnosed with asthma, and of those with severe asthma, only 223 (33.5%) had been diagnosed.

Table 2. Comparison of prevalence (n, %) of asthma symptoms by written questionnaire among South African adolescents, 2002 (ISAAC III) and 2017 (GAN I).

	2002 (ISAAC III) n=5037	2017 (GAN I) n=3979	2002 to 2017 OR (95% CI)
Wheeze ever	1670 (33.1)	1373 (34.5)	1.06 (0.97-1.16)
Wheezing last 12 months	1025 (20.3)	847 (21.3)	1.06 (0.96-1.17)
Four or more attacks of wheezing in the last 12 months	254 (5.0)	230 (5.8)	1.15 (0.96-1.39)
Woken by wheezing one or more nights per week in last 12 months	252 (5.0)	275 (6.9)	1.41 (1.18-1.68)
Severe wheeze limiting speech to one or two words at a time in last 12 months	395(7.8)	468 (11.8)	1.57 (1.36 - 1.8)
Exercise-induced wheeze in last 12 months	1641 (32.5)	1431 (36.0)	1.16 (1.06 -1.27)
Night cough, last 12 months	1846 (36.6)	1648 (41.4)	1.22 (1.12 -1.33)
Diagnosis of asthma ever	725 (14.4)	660 (16.6)	1.18 (1.05 - 1.33)

The video questionnaire was completed by 3944 (99.1%). Results showed a consistent increase in the prevalence of all measures of asthma and of severe asthma in each of the five video scenarios (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Comparison of Prevalence (n, %) of asthma symptoms by video questionnaire, 2002 (ISAAC III) and 2017 (GAN I).

Timeframe	2002 (ISAAC III)	2017 (GAN I)	2002 to 2017	
rimerranic	n=5037 (%)	n=3944 (%)	OR (95% CI)	
		Wheeze		
Ever	840 (16.9)	887(22.5)	1.45 (1.30-1.61)	
In the last 12 months	559 (11.2)	737 (18.7)	1.84 (1.63-2.07)	
In the last month	351 (7.0)	513 (13.0)	1.92 (1.66-2.21)	
	E	xercise-Induced Wheeze		
Ever	1070 (21.3)	1480 (37.5)	2.23 (2.03-2.44)	
In the last 12 months	692 (13.9)	1205 (30.6)	2.76 (2.49-3.07)	
In the last month	466 (9.4)	890 (22.6%)	2.86 (2.53-3.23)	
		Nocturnal Wheeze		
Ever	459 (9.2)	517 (13.1)	1.50 (1.32-1.72)	
In the last 12 months	265 (5.3)	376 (9.5)	1.90 (1.61-2.23)	
In the last month	194 (3.9)	275 (7.0)	1.87 (1.55-2.26)	
		Nocturnal Cough		
Ever	1501 (30.1)	1147 (29.1)	0.97 (0.88-1.06)	
In the last 12 months	957 (19.2)	863 (21.9)	1.19 (1.08-1.32)	
In the last month	620 (12.5)	618 (15.7)	1.32 (1.17-1.49)	
Severe Wheeze				
Ever	603 (12.1)	583 (14.8)	1.28 (1.13-1.44)	
In the last 12 months	347 (7.0)	403 (10.2)	1.47 (1.27-1.71)	
In the last month	216 (4.3)	296 (7.5)	1.81 (1.51-2.17)	

Environmental Risk Factors

Several risk factors were associated with current or severe asthma outcomes on the written questionnaire. On multivariate analysis, current asthma was associated with female sex, attending a private school, self-reported current smoking, a parent employed or exposure to pets (**Table 4**). Severe asthma (defined as any of the 3 measures on the written questionnaire) was associated with self-reported smoking (OR = 2.29 [1.78-2.94]), living in an informal home (OR = 1.38 [1.05-1.81]), exposure to outdoor pollution (OR = 1.26 [1.05-1.51]) or exposure to pets (OR = 1.32 [1.09-1.61]. (**Table 5**).

Table 4: Univariate and multivariate analysis of exposures and *current* self-reported asthma on the written questionnaire

	Current	No Current	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis	
Risk Factor		Wheeze n=3132 (%)	OR [95% CI]	p-value	OR [95% CI]	p-value
Cau (Mala)	327	1404	0.77	0.001	0.79	0.006
Sex (Male)	(38.6)	(44.8)	[0.66-0.90]	0.001	[0.67-0.93]	
Duit rate calcool	69	139	1.9	<0.001	1.66	0.002
Private school	(8.2)	(4.4)	[1.4-2.6]		[1.20-2.30]	
Outdoor Pollution	303	1031	1.1	0.445		
	(35.8)	(32.9)	[1.0-1.3]	0.115		
Self-reported Smoking	113	235	1.90	<0.001	1.88	<0.001

(current)	(13.3)	(7.5)	[1.49-2.41]		[1.46-2.41]	
Maternal Smoking	235	747	1.23	0.019	1.07	0.520
	(27.7)	(23.9)	[1.03-1.46]	0.019	[0.88-1.29]	
Paternal Smoking	325	1077	1.18	0.036	1.13	0.168
Paternal Smoking	(38.4)	(34.4)	[1.01-1.39]	0.036	[0.95-1.35]	
Wood used for heating	76	212	1.36	0.029	1.18	0.271
or cooking	(9.0)	(6.8)	[1.03-1.79]	0.029	[0.88-1.57]	0.271
Evenosure to Doto	633	2078	1.50	<0.001	1.40	<0.001
Exposure to Pets	(74.7)	(66.4)	[1.26-1.78]	<0.001	[1.16-1.67]	<0.001
Mother or father	781	2742	1.57	0.002	1.48	0.011
employed	(92.2)	(87.6)	[1.18-2.08]	0.002	[1.10-2.00]	0.011
Homo Typo informal	85	332	0.94	0.634		
Home Type- informal	(10.0)	(10.6)	[0.73-1.21]	0.634	-	-
Household Assets	369	1606	1.36	<0.001	0.86	0.070
(< 7 items)	(43.6)	(51.3)	[1.17-1.59]	<0.001	[0.73-1.01]	0.078
BMI Above 25	171	576	1.12	0.240	-	-
	(20.2)	(18.4)	[0.93-1.36]	0.240		

Table 5: Univariate and multivariate analysis of exposures and *severe* asthma on the written questionnaire

	Severe	No Severe	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis	
Risk Factor	% Factor Wheeze Wheeze n=669 (%) n=3310 (%) OR (95%)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
6 (24.1.)	287	1444	0.97	0.730		
Sex (Male)	(42.9)	(43.6)	[0.82-1.15]	0.730		
Independent school	29	179	0.79	0.256		
independent school	(4.3)	(5.4)	[0.53-1.18]	0.256		
Outdoor Pollution	260	1074	1.33	0.001	1.26	0.010
Outdoor Pollution	(38.9)	(32.5)	[1.12-1.59]	0.001	[1.06-1.51]	
Self-reported Smoking	103	245	2.28	<0.001	2.29	<0.001
(current)	(15.4)	(7.4)	[1.78-2.92]	<0.001	[1.78-2.94]	
Matarnal Conclina	146	836	0.84	0.079	-	
Maternal Smoking	(21.8)	(25.3)	[0.68-1.02]	0.079		-
Datornal Smoking	240	1162	1.01	0.612	-	-
Paternal Smoking	(35.9)	(35.1)	[0.88-1.53]			
Wood used for	49	239	1.02	0.925		
heating or cooking	(7.3)	(7.2)	[0.74-1.40]	0.925	-	-
Evnacura to Data	483	2228	1.26	0.014	1.32	0.005
Exposure to Pets	(72.2)	(67.3)	[1.05-1.52]		[1.09-1.61]	
Mother or father	590	2933	0.89	0.409		
employed	(88.2)	(88.6)	[0.68-1.17]	0.409	-	-
Hama Tuna informal	90	327	1.42	0.006	1.38	0.019
Home Type – informal	(13.5)	(9.9)	[1.10-1.82]		[1.05-1.81]	
Household Assets	363	1612	1.25	0.009	1.18	0.072
(< 7 items)	(54.2)	(48.7)	[1.06-1.48]		[0.99-1.42]	
DMI Above 25	130	617	1.05	0.638		
BMI Above 25	(19.4)	(18.6)	[0.85-1.30]	0.638		

Discussion

This study is amongst the first globally to use the GAN methodology to investigate changes in asthma prevalence in adolescents in a LMIC over an extended period. A key finding was the increasing prevalence of *severe* asthma. While asthma prevalence did not change substantially over this period on the written questionnaire, all measures of symptoms of severity increased on the written and video questionnaires. The proportion of children diagnosed with asthma increased, but only a third

of those with current or severe symptoms had been diagnosed with asthma. Key environmental exposures associated with asthma or with severe disease were outdoor pollution, participant smoking, pets and poor housing.

The rising prevalence and severity of asthma from 1995 to 2002 has previously been reported amongst adolescents from the same area.[9] These trends were consistent with ISAAC data from other African countries, such as Kenya and Nigeria which showed an increasing prevalence of asthma compared to a stabilising or decreasing trend in some higher income countries.[5] In addition, bronchial hyper-responsiveness studies have shown comparable increases in asthma prevalence amongst rural and urban South African children from 1979 and through to 2020.[17-21] The current study extends these findings by showing a striking increase in disease severity across all measures.

Several factors may account for the greater severity of asthma. Poor living conditions was a key risk factor, as was self reported smoking, pet ownership and outdoor pollution. Consistent with ISAAC III data from Cape Town, children from higher SES backgrounds (as measured by parental employment or private schooling) had a higher risk of current asthma, however, asthma severity was higher amongst those from a lower SES.[22] In another South African study using self-reported questionnaires amongst 6002 children from both rural and urban settings to investigate socioeconomic risk factors for childhood asthma, asthma severity increased with socioeconomic deprivation.[23] This association may be attributable to both the inequitable distribution of health care, poor access to diagnosis and care and environmental factors, such as increased exposure to indoor pollution and smoking.[22,23]

Self-reported smoking was an important risk factor with almost 9% of participants self-reporting smoking at a relatively young age. While self-reported smoking is an imperfect measure, this probably represents the lowest estimate of adolescents who are currently smoking in this population. Exposure to tobacco smoke is a well-established risk factor for asthma.[10,24,25] Amongst 6-7 year old children from a different part of South Africa, exposure to tobacco smoke increased the likelihood of wheeze by 77%.[10] South Africa's Tobacco Products Control Amendment Act (2008) prohibits children younger than 18 years from smoking, regulates the advertisement of cigarettes and cigarette tax, and makes designated smoking areas a legal requirement.[26] Our data suggests the need for more effective enforcement of this legislature as well as improved educational programmes aimed at children and adolescents, to prevent initiation of smoking and to strengthen smoking cessation initiatives for school going children.

Outdoor pollution, as measured by frequency of trucks passing by the respondent's home, was associated with an increased risk of severe asthma. Outdoor pollution is a well established risk factor for current and severe asthma.[27] However, data from Sub-Saharan Africa on the strength of the association is limited, with only a few recent studies describing an association between air pollution and wheeze in this region[27,28]. With increasing industrialisation, levels of pollution are expected to continue to rise, particularly in urban areas.[27] Further research is therefore needed to accurately describe this relationship in LMICs such as South Africa, and promote strategies to reduce exposure.

Consistent with ISAAC and other global data, female sex was found to be a significant risk factor for current wheeze amongst adolescents.[4,29,30] In pre-pubertal children the prevalence and severity of asthma is higher amongst males. The switch in asthma prevalence at the onset of puberty may be

related to the effects of female sex hormones on airway inflammation and hyper-reactivity. Other factors thought to contribute towards the gender differences in asthma prevalence include differences in airway growth and function between sexes, a tendency for girls to over-report asthma symptoms, as well as differences in environmental exposures, such as smoking.[29,30]

Lack of asthma diagnosis for adolescents with asthma or with severe disease was common, with two-thirds of cases undiagnosed. This proportion has remained relatively unchanged over this period; in ISAAC III, undiagnosed asthma was most common in African countries, with 40% of children undiagnosed.[9,31] The results from our study suggest that ongoing underdiagnosis and undertreatment of childhood asthma remains a key concern. This may be particularly challenging in LMIC's, where access to care may be limited, and illnesses such as lower respiratory infections are more likely to be diagnosed.[8] Adolescents may also deny symptoms and underestimate the severity of disease.[30] Improved access to primary health care for diagnosis and affordable essential asthma medication, including inhaled corticosteroids or short acting beta agonists, can reduce hospitalizations, mortality and costs.[32,33] Our data highlight the need for urgent public health care interventions to address underdiagnosis in these settings.

A limitation of the study is the lack of generalisability to rural areas; however the study is relevant to peri-urban and urbanising landscapes of LMICs.[17,18] Further, the study was done in Cape Town, an urban area of South Africa, the same area in which ISAAC phase I and III were done. However, South Africa has experienced profound social and economic changes over this period with increased urbanisation and increased numbers of people living in informal homes.[34-36] An estimated 53% of the population resided in urban or peri-urban areas in 1994 compared to 78% in 2013.[37,38] A further limitation is reliance on self-reported symptoms as a proxy for disease, rather than a confirmed diagnosis or lung function testing. Self-report may over- or underdiagnose asthma symptoms.[39] However, the ISAAC self-report methodology has been widely used globally and validated against physician assessments.[14,40] Furthermore, the use of identical methodology at all three time points makes a valid comparison over time possible.[9]

Although questionnaires have been translated and the study was conducted in the language appropriate for each individual, the translations may be limited in their ability to capture the scope of disease as the interpretation of terms such as "wheezing" and "asthma" may not be specific enough in each language. However, the video questionnaire was used to obviate any language issues. Both the video and the written questionnaires confirmed an increase in severe asthma while the prevalence of asthma, as measured by wheeze in the last 12 months, was remarkably consistent between the two (21.3% on the written and 18.7% on the video questionnaire). Further, these adolescents are all school going with a relatively high level of literacy. Lastly the same questionnaires were used in ISAAC and in GAN, making comparison of responses valid.

The study highlights the emerging undiagnosed and increasing burden of asthma, including severe asthma, which is the commonest non-communicable disease in adolescents in LMICs. Key environmental factors associated with disease and disease severity including smoking, poor housing and poverty are amenable to public health interventions. Further study of the determinants of disease and long term impact is needed in LMIC settings. Strategies to improve asthma diagnosis and management in these settings must be strengthened.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the adolescents who participated; the Heads and Administrators of participating schools; the Western Cape Government Department of Education; the study staff; and Associate Professor Diane Gray and Professor Mike Levin of the University of Cape Town for their contributions to the adaptation of the environmental questionnaire. We acknowledge the GAN global centre in Auckland, New Zealand for the core materials, guidance and support. Funding was provided by grants to Heather Zar from the South African Medical Research Council and the Allergy Society of South Africa.

References

- 1. The Global Asthma Report 2018. Auckland, New Zealand: Global Asthma Network, 2018. Available from: http://globalasthmareport.org
- 2. Asher I, Pearce N. Global burden of asthma among children. The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. 2014;18(11):1269-1278.
- 3. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2018. Available from: www.ginasthma.org
- 4. Mallol J, Crane J, von Mutius E, Odhiambo J, Keil U, Stewart A. The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Phase Three: a global synthesis. Allerg Et Immunol. 2013;41(2):73-85.
- 5. Asher M, Montefort S, Björkstén B, Lai C, Strachan D, Williams H, et al. Worldwide time trends in the prevalence of symptoms of asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema in childhood: ISAAC Phases One and Three repeat multicountry cross-sectional surveys. Lancet. 2006;368(9537):733-743.
- 6. Ellwood P, Asher M, Beasley R, Clayton T, Steward A, ISAAC Steering Committee. The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC): Phase Three rationale and methods. Int J Tub Lung Dis. 2005;9(1):10–16.
- 7. Cruz Á, Stelmach R, Ponte E. Asthma prevalence and severity in low-resource communities. Current Opinion In Allergy And Clinical Immunology. 2017; 17(3): 188-193.
- 8. Zar HJ, Levin ME. Challenges in treating paediatric asthma in developing countries. Paediatr Drugs. 2012;14(6):353-9.
- 9. Zar H, Ehrlich R, Workman L, Weinberg E. The changing prevalence of asthma, allergic rhinitis and atopic eczema in African adolescents from 1995 to 2002. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2007;18:560–565.
- 10. Masekela R, Gray CL, Green RJ, Manjra Al, Kritzinger FE, Levin M, Zar H; on behalf of the South African Childhood Asthma Working Group. The increasing burden of asthma in South African Children: a call to action. S Afr Med J. 2018;108(7):537-539.
- 11. Mayosi BM, Lawn JE, van Niekerk A, Bradshaw D, Karim S, Coovadia HM. Health in South Africa: changes and challenges since 2009. Lancet. 2012;380:2029–2043.
- 12. Burger R, Christian C. Access to health care in post-apartheid South Africa: availability, affordability and accessibility. Health Econ Policy Law. 2018:1-13.
- 13. National Planning Commission. Diagnostic overview. 2011. Available from: http://www.npconline.co.za/MediaLib/Downloads/Home/Tabs/
 Diagnostic/Diagnostic%20Overview.pdf. Accessed 24 June 2019.
- 14. Ellwood P, Asher MI, Ellwood E, Global Asthma Network Steering Group. Global Asthma Network Phase I Manual. Global Surveillance: Prevalence, Severity, Management and Risk Factors. 2015. ISBN: 978-0-473-31442-2.
- 15. Myer L, Stein DJ, Grimsrud A, Seedat S, Williams DR. Social determinants of psychological distress in a nationally-representative sample of South African adults. Soc Sci Med. 2008;66(8):1828–1840.
- 16. City of Cape Town. 2011 Census Cape Town Profile [Internet]. 2012. Available from: http://www.capetown.gov.za/Family%20and%20home/education-and-research-materials/data-statistics-and-research/cape-town-census. Accessed 18 September 2018.
- 17. Van Niekerk CH, Weinberg EG, Shore SC, et al. Prevalence of asthma: A comparative study of urban and rural Xhosa Children. Clin Allergy. 1979;9(4):319-324. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.1979.tb02489.

- 18. Steinman HA, Donson H, Kawalski M, et al. Bronchial hyper-responsiveness and atopy in urban, peri-urban and rural South African children. Paediatr Allergy Immunol. 2003;14(5):383-393. https://doi. org/10.1034/j.1399-3038.2003.00062.
- 19. Calvert J, Burney P. Effect of body mass on exercise-induced bronchospasm and atopy in African children. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2005;116(4):773-779. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2005.05.025.
- 20. Levin ME, Muloiwa R, Motala C. Associations between asthma and bronchial hyper-responsiveness with allergy and atopy phenotypes in urban black South African teenagers. S Afr Med J. 2011;101(7):472-476.
- 21. Levin ME, Botha M, Basera W, Facey-Thomas HE, Gaunt B, Grat CL, Kiragu W, Ramjith J, Watkins A, Genuneit J. Environmental factors associated with allergy in urban and rural children from the South African Food Allergy (SAFFA) cohort. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020;45(1).
- 22. Poyser M, Nelson H, Ehrlich R, Bateman E, Parnell S, Puterman A. Weinberg E. Socioeconomic deprivation and asthma prevalence and severity in young adolescents. Eur Respir J. 2002;19:892–898.
- 23. Yakubovich A, Cluver L, Gie R. Socioeconomic factors associated with asthma prevalence and severity among children living in low-income South African communities. S Afr Med J. 2016;106(4):407-412.
- 24. Stern DA, Morgan WJ, Halonen M, et al. Wheezing and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in early childhood as predictors of newly diagnosed asthma in early adulthood: a longitudinal birth-cohort study. Lancet. 2008;372(9643):1058-64.
- 25. Ayuk AC, Ramjith J, Zar HJ. Environmental risk factors for asthma in 13-14 year old African children. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2018;53(11):1475-1484.
- 26. Tobacco Products Control Amendment Act, 2008. Government Gazette. http://www.tobaccosa.co.za/wp-content/uploads/Amendment-Act-63-of-2008.pdf
- 27. Shirinde J, Wichmann J, Voyi K. Association between wheeze and selected air pollution sources in an air pollution priority area in South Africa: a cross-sectional study. Environ health. 2014;13(1):32.
- 28. Mentz G, Robins T, Batterman S, Naidoo R. Acute respiratory symptoms associated with short term fluctuations in ambient pollutants among schoolchildren in Durban, South Africa. Environ Pollut. 2018;233:529–539.
- 29. Postma D. Gender differences in asthma development and progression. Gender Medicine. 2007;4:133-46.
- 30. de Benedictis D, Bush A. The challenge of asthma in adolescence. Pediatr Pulmonol 2007;42:683-92.
- 31. Lai CKW, Beasley R, Crane J, Foliaki S, Shah J, Weiland S. Global variation in the prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms: Phase Three of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). Thorax. 2009;64(6):476-83.
- 32. Comaru T, Pitrez PM, Friedrich FO, Silveira VD, Pinto LA. Free asthma medications reduces hospital admissions in Brazil (Free asthma drugs reduces hospitalizations in Brazil). Respiratory medicine. 2016;121:21-5.
- 33. Soto-Martinez M, Avila L, Soto N, Chaves A, Celedon JC, Soto-Quiros ME. Trends in hospitalizations and mortality from asthma in Costa Rica over a 12- to 15-year period. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2014;2(1):85-90.
- 34. The World Bank. Urban Poverty: A Global View [Internet]. 2008. Available from: http://www.worldbank.org/. Accessed 18 September 2018.

- 35. UN-Habitat. Measurement of City Prosperity: Methodology and Metadata. United Nations Human Settlements Programme. 2016. Available from:

 http://cpi.unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/resources/CPI%20METADATA.2016.pdf.

 Accessed 18 September 2018.
- 36. United Nations Conference on Housing and Sustainable Urban Development. Habitat III Issue Paper on Informal Settlements. [Internet]. New York: UN- Habitat. 2015. Available from: https://unhabitat.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Habitat-III-Issue-Paper-22 Informal-Settlements.pdf. Accessed 18 September 2018.
- 37. van Huyssteen E, Mans G, le Roux A, Ngidi M, Maritz J, McKelly D, Green C. CSIR Policy Brief 2: Reaching development outcomes through a dedicated focus on cities, town and settlements. 2013. Available from: http://stepsa.org/. Accessed 19 September 2018.
- 38. Naidoo RN, Robins TG, Batterman S, Mentz G, Jack C. Ambient pollution and respiratory outcomes among schoolchildren in Durban, South Africa. S Afr J Child Health. 2013;7(4):127-134.
- 39. Romano-Zelekha O, Graif Y, Sultan S, Livne I, Shohat T. Adolescent versus parent reports on asthma and asthma symptoms. Pediatr Pulmonol 2017;52:154-159.
- 40. Jenkins M, Clarke J, Carlin J, Robertson C, Hopper J, Dalton M, et al. Validation of questionnaire and bronchial hyperresponsiveness against respiratory physician assessment in the diagnosis of asthma. Int J Epidemiol. 1996;25(3):609-16.