

## Early View

Research letter

### **N3 hilar sampling decision in the staging of mediastinal lung cancer**

J. Bordas-Martinez, J. L. Vercher-Conejero, G. Rodriguez-González, N. Cubero, R. M. Lopez-Lisbona, M. Diez-Ferrer, R. Tazi, A. Rosell

Please cite this article as: Bordas-Martinez J, Vercher-Conejero JL, Rodriguez-González G, *et al.* N3 hilar sampling decision in the staging of mediastinal lung cancer. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00116-2021>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *ERJ Open Research*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJOR online.

Copyright ©The authors 2021. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

## N3 hilar sampling decision in the staging of mediastinal lung cancer

**Take Home Message:** There is insufficient evidence for the sampling of morphometabolically normal N3 hilar lymph nodes.

### Authors

Bordas-Martinez J<sup>1</sup>, Vercher-Conejero<sup>2</sup> JL, Rodriguez-González G<sup>1</sup>, Cubero N<sup>1</sup>, Lopez-Lisbona RM<sup>1</sup>, Diez –Ferrer M<sup>1</sup>, Tazi R<sup>3</sup>, Rosell A<sup>3</sup>

1. Pulmonology Department, Bellvitge University Hospital, UB, IDIBELL, CIBERES - Barcelona (Spain)
2. Nuclear Medicine Department - PET Unit, Bellvitge University Hospital - IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain
3. Thorax Institute, Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital, IGTP, UAB, CIBERES - Barcelona (Spain)

**Corresponding author and reprint to** Antoni Rosell MD PhD, Clinical Director of Thorax Institute. Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital, Carretera de Canyet, s/n, 08916 Badalona, Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail address: arosellg.germanstrias@gencat.cat

**Conflict of Interest Notification:** The authors have no commercial or financial interests to disclose related to this study.

**Keywords:** lung cancer, mediastinal staging, N3 hilar, lymph node, EBUS, PET-CT.

## INTRODUCTION

The guidelines[1–4] on invasive staging for lung cancer recommend endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration over surgical staging in patients with a high suspicion of lymph node involvement, either by morphological criteria ( $>1$  cm in short axis) on CT or metabolic criteria on PET uptake (SUVmax [Standardized uptake value maximum]  $> 2.5$ ). This recommendation is also valid for a CT and PET negative mediastinum if there is a central tumor, N1 disease, a low uptake tumor, or a T2 tumor ( $> 3$ cm). Systematic endoscopic ultrasound node assessment should include the abnormal nodes by CT or PET and a minimum of three N2 stations (4R, 7, and 4L) [1–4]. Any node more than 5 mm in short axis diameter at endoscopic assessment should be sampled. These recommendations are based on a number of studies that compared cervical mediastinoscopy to EBUS in surgical patients[3, 5], which means that information on N3 hilar lymph nodes (stations 10 and 11) is lacking. There are no specific statements regarding whether or not to sample hilar N3 lymph nodes [1–4]. As Murgu [6] pointed out, routinely sampling these stations may not be warranted because N3 hilar stations do not impact staging if N3 mediastinal stations are positive and because thoracic surgeons only sample N3 mediastinal stations in surgical staging. This study aims to determine the value of this extended clinical practice and to establish whether a higher SUV max cut-off point can provide better PET-CT diagnostic accuracy.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective descriptive study on our database, which includes 1,013 patients studied by endobronchial ultrasound transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) at the University Hospital of Bellvitge (Barcelona) from January 2012 to January 2018. We included patients with lung cancer staged by PET-CT and EBUS-TBNA who had at least one sampled N3 station hilar lymph node (contralateral 10 and 11), while a pathological report was deemed conclusive.

### 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission / computed tomography

Previous to EBUS, all patients underwent routine 18F-FDG PET-CT scans with a Discovery ST PET-CT (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wis) or a Discovery IQ PET-CT (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wis). All patients fasted for at least six hours, and glucose levels in peripheral blood were confirmed to be 140 mg/dL or less before administering the 18F-FDG injection. Approximately 5.5 MBq/kg of body weight of 18F-FDG was administered intravenously one hour before standard PET-CT imaging acquisition (from the base of the skull to the proximal thighs).

A single nuclear medicine expert at our Institution blindly reviewed all scans and determined the SUVmax of primary mass and every single lymph node. The analysis was performed with two cut-off points for SUVmax (2.5 and 5).

### EBUS

Convex EBUS-transbronchial needle aspiration was performed with an Olympus BF-UC180F (Tokyo, Japan) and a Fujifilm EB-530 US (Tokyo, Japan) under general anesthesia through a laryngeal mask (iGel, Intersurgical, Berkshire, UK).

Lymph node examination was performed with a systematic approach and all nodes with a short-axis larger or equal to 5mm were sampled with a 21-gauge needle (NA-201SX-4021, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) supported by rapid on-site evaluation (ROSE). Cell blocks were obtained from all punctures.

A cut-off point of 10 mm or larger in diameter in the short axis was deemed as highly suspicious of malignancy upon CT examination. In this study, measurements are exclusively based on ultrasonography since it is a more accurate and real-time approach.

### Statistical analysis

The baseline characteristics of participants were described using mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables. The statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Office Excel 2007.

## **RESULTS**

Eighty-five patients with an average age of 67 years (SD 10.3 years) met the inclusion criteria, of whom 74 were men (87%). Pathological diagnoses were adenocarcinoma in 38 cases (44.7%), squamous cell lung carcinoma in 34 cases (40%), non-small cell lung carcinoma in eight cases (9.4%), small cell lung carcinoma in three cases (3.5%), sarcomatoid carcinoma in one case (1.2%), and neuroendocrine lung cancer in one case (1.2%).

A total of 329 lymph nodes were sampled, of which 81 were mediastinal N3 with 10 (12.3%) testing positive for malignancy, 95 were hilar N3, among which six (6.3%) histologically positive, and 124 and 29 were N2 and N1 lymph nodes, respectively. N3 hilar lymph node results are given in Table 1.

With a PET-CT cut-off point of  $\geq 2.5$  SUVmax, none of the 44 normal N3 hilar lymph nodes tested histologically positive for lung cancer. Of the 51 patients with abnormal N3 hilar lymph nodes (39 by PET-CT, three by short-axis measured with EBUS and nine for both), malignancy was found in 11.7 % (2.6% by PET-CT, 33% by EBUS and 44.4% when PET-CT and EBUS findings are combined).

If a PET-CT cut-off point was established at  $\geq 5$  SUVmax, none of 78 normal N3 hilar lymph nodes tested histologically positive for lung cancer. Of the 17 patients with abnormal N3 hilar lymph nodes (five by PET-CT, eight by short-axis measured with EBUS, and four for both), malignancy was found in 35.3 % (20% by PET-CT, 25% by EBUS, and 75% when PET-CT and EBUS findings are combined).

## DISCUSSION

According to this series, normal hilar N3 lymph nodes (short axis measured by EBUS  $< 10$  mm and SUV max  $< 5$ ) should not be sampled, regardless of mediastinum N3 status. On the other hand, when hilar N3 lymph nodes present morphologically and/or metabolically abnormal features, EBUS-TBNA is mandatory, since malignancy is found in 35.3% of cases on average. A recent study[7] did not find any N3 lymph node morphologically suspicious of malignancy by EBUS when it was PET-CT metabolically negative. However, to the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first report to focus on the value of sampling hilar N3 based on a combination of EBUS morphology data (short axis) and metabolic activity assessed by PET-CT.

In our study, we used two SUVmax cut-off points. SUVmax  $\geq 2.5$  is considered the reference for malignancy in solid tumors, though this value can be different when applied to lymph nodes. Different authors have explored the cut-off point for lymph nodes, positing values between 4.5 and 6.2 [8–10]. We decided to apply a SUVmax cut-off of 5 as this is a mid-range value in the literature. By increasing the SUVmax cut-off point to 5, 34 samples could have been avoided (see Table 1).

A study limitation is the absence of patients' surgical status. However, that was not the aim of the study and the correlation reported between EBUS and surgery results for malignancy is very high [11, 12].

Our proposal to restrict EBUS sampling to morphometabolically abnormal hilar N3 would reduce procedural time, lower the risk of complications and achieve better cost-effectiveness. Furthermore, a reduction of the radiation field alone would justify this practice. Possibly, the proposal of Evison et al[13] to use a stratification model combining variables of PET-CT and EBUS is the way forward.

In conclusion, morphometabolically normal N3 hilar lymph nodes (PET-CT: SUVmax < 5 and EBUS < 10mm in short axis) should not be sampled, regardless of mediastinal N3 status. Using a SUVmax  $\geq$  5 reduces the number of samples required without compromising diagnostic accuracy. A multicenter prospective study is needed to corroborate this finding.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, Nicholson AG, Geisinger KR, Yatabe Y, Beer DG, Powell CA, Riely GJ, Van Schil PE, Garg K, Austin JHM, Asamura H, Rusch VW, Hirsch FR, Scagliotti G, Mitsudomi T, Huber RM, Ishikawa Y, Jett J, Sanchez-Cespedes M, Sculier J-P, Takahashi T, Tsuboi M, Vansteenkiste J, Wistuba I, Yang P-C, Aberle D, Brambilla C, Flieder D, et al. International association for the study of lung cancer/american thoracic society/european respiratory society international multidisciplinary classification of lung adenocarcinoma. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* [Internet] NIH Public Access; 2011 [cited 2019 Oct 8]; 6: 244–285 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21252716>.
2. Silvestri GA, Gonzalez A V., Jantz MA, Margolis ML, Gould MK, Tanoue LT, Harris LJ, Detterbeck FC. Methods for Staging Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. *Chest* [Internet] 2013 [cited 2019 Oct 8]; 143: e211S–e250S Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649440>.
3. Ge X, Guan W, Han F, Guo X, Jin Z. Comparison of Endobronchial Ultrasound-Guided Fine Needle Aspiration and Video-Assisted Mediastinoscopy for Mediastinal Staging of Lung Cancer [Internet]. *Lung* 2015 [cited 2019 Oct 8]. p. 757–766 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26186887>.
4. Asamura H, Chansky K, Crowley J, Goldstraw P, Rusch VW, Vansteenkiste JF, Watanabe H, Wu Y-L, Zielinski M, Ball D, Rami-Porta R, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Staging and Prognostic Factors Committee, Advisory Board Members, and Participating Institutions. The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Lung Cancer Staging Project. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* [Internet] 2015 [cited 2019 Oct 8]; 10: 1675–1684 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26709477>.
5. Leong TL, Loveland PM, Gorelik A, Irving L, Steinfort DP. Preoperative staging by ebus in cn0/n1 lung cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Bronchol. Interv. Pulmonol.* 2019; 26: 155–165.
6. Murgu SD. Diagnosing and staging lung cancer involving the mediastinum. *Chest* [Internet] 2015; 147: 1401–1412 Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25940251>.
7. Serra P, Centeno C, Sanz-Santos J, Torky M, Baeza S, Mendiluce L, Martínez-Barenys C, López de Castro P, Abad J, Rosell A, Andreo F. Is it necessary to sample the contralateral nodal stations by EBUS-TBNA in patients with lung cancer and clinical N0 / N1 on PET-CT? *Lung Cancer* 2020; 142: 9–12.
8. Bryant AS, Cerfolio RJ, Klemm KM, Ojha B. Maximum standard uptake value of mediastinal lymph nodes on integrated FDG-PET-CT predicts pathology in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006/07/26. 2006; 82: 413–417.
9. Kumar A, Dutta R, Kannan U, Kumar R, Khilnani GC, Gupta SD. Evaluation of mediastinal lymph nodes using F-FDG PET-CT scan and its histopathologic correlation. *Ann Thorac Med* 2011/01/26. 2011; 6: 11–16.
10. Hellwig D, Graeter TP, Ukena D, Groeschel A, Sybrecht GW, Schaefer HJ, Kirsch CM. 18F-FDG PET for mediastinal staging of lung cancer: Which SUV threshold makes sense? *J. Nucl. Med.* [Internet] Society of Nuclear Medicine; 2007 [cited 2018 Dec 3]; 48: 1761–1766 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17942814>.
11. Ge X, Guan W, Han F, Guo X, Jin Z. Comparison of Endobronchial Ultrasound-Guided Fine Needle Aspiration and Video-Assisted Mediastinoscopy for Mediastinal Staging of Lung Cancer. *Lung* 2015/07/19. 2015; 193: 757–766.

12. Czarnecka-Kujawa K, Yasufuku K. The role of endobronchial ultrasound versus mediastinoscopy for non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Dis* 2017/04/28. 2017; 9: S83-s97.
13. Evison M, Morris J, Martin J, Shah R, Barber P V., Booton R, Crosbie PAJ. Nodal staging in lung cancer: A risk stratification model for lymph nodes classified as negative by EBUS-TBNA. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* [Internet] International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer; 2015; 10: 126–133 Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0000000000000348>.

Table 1. Pathological results according to morphometabolic features in 95 hilar N3 lymph nodes

			All lymph nodes (n=95)	Histology Malignant (n = 6)      Non-malignant (n= 89)		Malignancy %
<b>EBUS</b> (mm)						
Diameter in short axis	< 10		83	1	82	<b>1,2%</b>
	≥ 10		12	5	7	<b>41,7%</b>
<b>PET-CT</b> (SUVmax)						
(SUVmax cut-off of 2.5)	< 2.5		47	1	46	<b>2,1%</b>
	≥ 2.5		48	5	43	<b>10,4%</b>
<b>PET-CT</b> (SUVmax)						
(SUVmax cut-off of 5)	< 5		86	2	84	<b>2,3%</b>
	≥ 5		9	4	5	<b>44,4%</b>
<b>Combined EBUS / PET-CT</b> (mm) (SUVmax)						
(SUVmax cut-off of 2.5)	< 10	< 2.5	44	0	44	<b>0,0%</b>
	< 10	≥ 2.5	39	1	38	<b>2,6%</b>
	≥ 10	< 2.5	3	1	2	<b>33,3%</b>
	≥ 10	≥ 2.5	9	4	5	<b>44,4%</b>
<b>Combined EBUS / PET-CT</b> (mm) (SUVmax)						
(SUVmax cut-off of 5)	< 10	< 5	78	0	78	<b>0,0%</b>
	< 10	≥ 5	5	1	4	<b>20,0%</b>
	≥ 10	< 5	8	2	6	<b>25,0%</b>
	≥ 10	≥ 5	4	3	1	<b>75,0%</b>