



## Early View

Research letter

# **Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) for Moderate to Severe Covid 19 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (CARDS) in a Resource limited setting**

Anbesan Hoole, Sahar Qamar, Ayesha Khan, Mariam Ejaz

Please cite this article as: Hoole A, Qamar S, Khan A, *et al.* Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) for Moderate to Severe Covid 19 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (CARDS) in a Resource limited setting. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00536-2021>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *ERJ Open Research*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJOR online.

Copyright ©The authors 2021. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

# Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) for Moderate to Severe Covid 19 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (CARDS) in a Resource limited setting

## **Corresponding Author:**

Dr Anbesan Hoole (MRCP UK), *Department of Internal Medicine, Bach Christian Hospital, Karakoram Highway, Qalandarabad, Abbottabad, KPK, Pakistan. 22000 Email: anbesan.hoole@gmail.com*

## **Co – Authors:**

Dr Sahar Qamar, *Department of Internal Medicine, Bach Christian Hospital, Qalandarabad, Abbottabad, KPK, Pakistan.*

Dr Ayesha Khan, *Department of Internal Medicine, Bach Christian Hospital, Qalandarabad, Abbottabad, KPK, Pakistan.*

Dr Mariam Ejaz, *Department of Internal Medicine, Bach Christian Hospital, Qalandarabad, Abbottabad, KPK, Pakistan.*

**Keywords:** ARDS, COVID-19, Non Invasive Ventilation, Critical Care, Pneumonia

**Word Count:** 1230

*To the Editor:*

## Introduction

Management of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) associated Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (Covid 19 ARDS or CARDS) has challenged the health systems of developed countries, and even more so the health systems of resource poor settings(1). Within this context Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) has been trialled in as an alternative less resource intensive respiratory support to early Invasive Mechanical Ventilation (IMV)(2) with promising results and now features in some major guidelines(3). However, there are limited studies from resource poor settings massively impacted by Covid 19. We retrospectively analysed the completed survival outcomes and characteristics for the exclusive use of CPAP for moderate to severe CARDS as defined by Kigali

criteria(4) in a cohort of patients admitted to Bach Christian Hospital (BCH) between April and August 2021.

## Methods

BCH is a small 60 bedded secondary level hospital in rural Northern Pakistan (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province). A Covid 19 unit was opened at BCH in December 2020 to respond to the surge of severely unwell Covid 19 patients in Pakistan's second wave. This contained 9 High Dependency Unit beds with appropriate step-down patients transferred to another ward. Nursing staff with limited previous critical care experience were trained in the management of critically unwell patients, the use of CPAP and Arterial Blood Gas (ABG) sampling. The unit was staffed by a single nurse and nurse aid.

Patients were admitted in Covid19 unit at BCH based on Respiratory failure ( $SpO_2 < 90\%$  or Respiratory Rate  $> 30$ ), in the presence of a clinical history and examination suggestive of Covid19 pneumonia with appropriate radiological and laboratory findings (14). SARS-CoV-2 PCR was performed on affording patients but limited due to cost and availability(6). However, all patients tested had positive results, and Covid 19 antigen testing was implemented from August.

Ethical approval was obtained from the hospital committee for this observational study.

Patients were initially resuscitated with 5L  $O_2$  via Nasal Cannula (NC) or 15L  $O_2$  via Non-Rebreathe Mask (NRM) depending on severity with a target  $SpO_2$  of 95% (fig 1A). After 1h clinical assessment with an ABG was performed, and those with persistent tachypnoea or  $P_aO_2/FiO_2 < 150\text{mmHg}$  (corresponding to requiring more than 5L  $O_2$  via nasal cannula to maintain  $SpO_2 > 90\%$ ) were commenced on CPAP at 10cmH<sub>2</sub>O as recommended by UK guidelines(7) and the initial study from Genoa (2).

Philipps Respironics CPAP machines originally intended for home use for obstructive sleep apnea were used with their default Amara full face masks, with the addition of anti-bacterial/viral heat and moisture exchange (HME) filters. Philipps advise a separate inflow attachment for  $O_2$  entrainment(8), but in the absence of these pieces  $O_2$  was entrained via a T tube to the machine end of the CPAP tubing.  $O_2$  was supplied from a wall flow meter with a capacity of up to 15L/min.

Clinical status reassessed with an ABG after one hour on CPAP. Those who had improved on CPAP 10cm H<sub>2</sub>O were placed on continuous CPAP for 72h as recommended by an Italian protocol(9) with breaks for eating and drinking. Those who failed to improve sufficiently on 10cmH<sub>2</sub>O of CPAP were given a trial of 15 cmH<sub>2</sub>O of CPAP which has been described in Italian and Scottish guidelines (10,11). If patients improved significantly on 15cmH<sub>2</sub>O, that pressure was continued. Otherwise 10cmH<sub>2</sub>O was used for all patients given the risks of barotrauma at pressures  $>10\text{cm H}_2\text{O}$ . All patients on oxygen including those on CPAP were encouraged to do prone positioning for at least 1 hour three times daily(12). Those unable to tolerate complete proning were encouraged to do semi prone positioning.

CPAP weaning was attempted after 72h of continuous CPAP. CPAP was stopped when  $P_aO_2/FiO_2$  off CPAP was greater than 150mmHg or maintaining  $SpO_2 > 90\%$  on 5L  $O_2$  via NC. Patients who failed to wean off CPAP at all were given another 72h of continuous CPAP and a wean was reattempted afterwards.

Steroids (Dexamethasone) with appropriate thromboprophylaxis (Rivaroxaban, due to lack of LMWH availability) formed the mainstay of medical management. Remdesivir was not used due to lack of evidence of efficacy in severe disease, and Tocilizumab was used in select patients from July as per updated WHO guidelines(13).

## Results

149 patients were admitted with suspected Covid 19 AHRF at BCH from April 9<sup>th</sup> to August 31<sup>st</sup> 2021. 99 patients met criteria for moderate to severe ARDS and were commenced on CPAP regardless of age or underlying comorbidities. 76 patients survived on CPAP (fig 1B).

The duration of successful patients on CPAP ranged from 5 to 21 days. Complications on CPAP included barotrauma, particularly with higher pressures. 4 patients on 15 cmH<sub>2</sub>O developed these complications (2 pneumothorax and 2 pneumomediastinum), while only 1 patient on 10 cm H<sub>2</sub>O had this complication (1 pneumomediastinum). The patients with pneumothorax had chest tube insertion, but even so all 5 of these patients unfortunately died. However this rate of barotrauma (5/99 patients or 5%) is similar to reported elsewhere for NIV in CARDS, which is lower than rates observed for IMV(14).

Patients with CARDS demonstrated improvement in oxygenation with CPAP (fig 1C). FiO<sub>2</sub> on simple oxygen including nasal cannula was approximated using the Shapiro formula(15), and a white paper from Phillips was used to estimate FiO<sub>2</sub> on CPAP(8).

No healthcare associated infections were reported among staff.

## Discussion

Our survival rate on CPAP (76.8% total) is slightly lower than the 83% reported in the original study on CPAP in CARDS from Genoa(2). However, the original study excluded a significant number of patients who were not considered fit for resuscitation, while all patients received CPAP as per protocol in this study regardless of pre-morbid state. Our survival rate is significantly higher than the 29% reported on patients exclusively treated with CPAP in another Italian series(16), however it is likely that these patients had a greater frequency of underlying comorbidities as they were classed ineligible for intubation. The CARDS survival rate for CPAP at our centre is not too dissimilar to reported rates (around 80%) from Intensive Care Units employing NIV and IMV in resource rich settings(17), suggesting feasibility of CPAP as a ceiling of care treatment modality in pandemic circumstances. Even more significantly the survival rate is higher than the dire outcomes in Intensive Care Units (ICU) employing IMV in resource poor settings being inundated by late referrals(1). CPAP is less resource intensive in terms of equipment and staffing, and health workers can be easily trained in its operation. Early implementation of CPAP for CARDS in a non-ICU setting as opposed to late referral to an already overwhelmed ICU for IMV is likely to save many lives.

Significant limitations of this study include its observational nature, retrospective single centre design and small sample size.

## Conclusion

CPAP is an efficacious and cost-effective modality of treatment for Covid 19 ARDS (CARDS), particularly in resource poor settings which now bear most of the pandemic burden.

## Acknowledgements

Many thanks to our dedicated nursing staff for their work with Covid 19 patients and help with data collection, particularly Nurse in Charge Rizwan Hameed and staff nurse Shahzad Gill. We are thankful to our CEO Dr Luke Cutherell, Medical Superintendent Dr Musheer Shaukat, Hospital Administrator Mr Zubaid Inayat and Nursing Superintendent Nabila Michael for their support and encouragement with the work of our Covid 19 unit.

## Conflicts of interest and funding

Authors declare no conflict of interest. No funding was received for this research.

## References

1. Biccard BM, Gopalan PD, Miller M, Michell WL, Thomson D, Ademuyiwa A, et al. Patient care and clinical outcomes for patients with COVID-19 infection admitted to African high-care or intensive care units (ACCCOS): a multicentre, prospective, observational cohort study. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2021 May 22 [cited 2021 May 24];397(10288):1885–94. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673621004414>
2. Brusasco C, Corradi F, Di Domenico A, Raggi F, Timossi G, Santori G, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure in COVID-19 patients with moderate-to-severe respiratory failure [Internet]. Vol. 57, *European Respiratory Journal*. European Respiratory Society; 2021 [cited 2021 May 27]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02524-2020>
3. Attaway AH, Scheraga RG, Bhimraj A, Biehl M, Hatipoğlu U. Severe covid-19 pneumonia: Pathogenesis and clinical management [Internet]. Vol. 372, *The BMJ*. BMJ Publishing Group; 2021 [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n436>
4. Riviello ED, Buregeya E, Twagirumugabe T. Diagnosing acute respiratory distress syndrome in resource limited settings: The Kigali modification of the Berlin definition. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2017;23(1):18–23.
5. (No Title) [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 4]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/clinical-management-of-novel-cov.pdf>
6. Schultz MJ, Gebremariam TH, Park C, Pisani L, Sivakorn C, Taran S, et al. Pragmatic recommendations for the use of diagnostic testing and prognostic models in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 in low- and middle-income countries. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* [Internet]. 2021 Mar 1 [cited 2021 May 7];104(3):34–47. Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC7957242/>
7. Walker J, Dolly S, Ng L, Prior-Ong M, Sabapathy K. The role of CPAP as a potential bridge to invasive ventilation and as a ceiling-of-care for patients hospitalized with Covid-19—An observational study. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2021 Apr 22];15(12 December). Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC7774971/>
8. Philips Respironics E30 ventilator | Philips Healthcare [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 16]. Available from: [https://www.philips.com.pk/healthcare/medical-specialties/covid-19/sleep-and-respiratory-care-covid-19/e30-ventilator#triggername=menu\\_one](https://www.philips.com.pk/healthcare/medical-specialties/covid-19/sleep-and-respiratory-care-covid-19/e30-ventilator#triggername=menu_one)
9. Radovanovic D, Rizzi M, Pini S, Saad M, Chiumello DA, Santus P. Helmet CPAP to Treat Acute Hypoxemic Respiratory Failure in Patients with COVID-19: A Management Strategy Proposal. *J Clin Med* [Internet]. 2020 Apr 22 [cited 2021 Apr 22];9(4):1191. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/manchester.idm.oclc.org/32331217/>
10. Nielsen Jeschke K, Bonnesen B, Hansen EF, Jensen JUS, Lapperre TS, Weinreich UM, et al. Guideline for the management of COVID-19 patients during hospital admission in a non-intensive care setting [Internet]. Vol. 7, *European Clinical Respiratory Journal*. Taylor and Francis Ltd.; 2020 [cited 2021 Apr 22]. Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC7655082/>
11. Our guidelines [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 18]. Available from: <https://www.sign.ac.uk/our-guidelines/>
12. Winck JC, Scala R. Non-invasive respiratory support paths in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: proposal of an algorithm. *Pulmonology* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Apr 22]; Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/manchester.idm.oclc.org/33516668/>
13. Therapeutics and COVID-19: living guideline [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 1]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-therapeutics-2021.2>

14. K R, AJ S, S M, S L, B B, J B, et al. Pulmonary Barotrauma in COVID-19 Patients With ARDS on Invasive and Non-Invasive Positive Pressure Ventilation. *J Intensive Care Med* [Internet]. 2021 Sep 1 [cited 2021 Oct 16];36(9):1013–7. Available from: <https://pubmed-ncbi-nlm-nih-gov.manchester.idm.oclc.org/34013825/>
15. *Respiratory Care: Principles and Practice* - Dean Hess, Neil R. MacIntyre, William F. Galvin - Google Books [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 18]. Available from: [https://books.google.com.pk/books?id=o9LgDwAAQBAJ&pg=PA298&lpg=PA298&dq=shapiro+formula+fio2&source=bl&ots=LRHWBn6ncK&sig=ACfU3U3Vi\\_rvRYs6UYmU-2GS3mvebzDCow&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwic0eLa7tPzAhWOgP0HHQv8D7cQ6AF6BAgtEAM#v=onepage&q=shapiro formula fio2&f=false](https://books.google.com.pk/books?id=o9LgDwAAQBAJ&pg=PA298&lpg=PA298&dq=shapiro+formula+fio2&source=bl&ots=LRHWBn6ncK&sig=ACfU3U3Vi_rvRYs6UYmU-2GS3mvebzDCow&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwic0eLa7tPzAhWOgP0HHQv8D7cQ6AF6BAgtEAM#v=onepage&q=shapiro formula fio2&f=false)
16. Ramirez GA, Bozzolo EP, Gobbi A, Castelli E, Centurioni C, Di Meo M, et al. Outcomes of non-invasive ventilation as the ceiling of treatment in patients with COVID-19. *Panminerva Med* [Internet]. 2021 Apr 16 [cited 2021 Jun 5]; Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33860653>
17. Pfeifer a-c Santiago Ewig Thomas Voshaar Winfried Johannes Randerath M, Bauer Jens Geiseler Dominic Dellweg Michael Westhoff T, Windisch W, Schönhofer Stefan Kluge Philipp Lepper BM, Torsten Bauer med. Position Paper for the State-of-the-Art Application of Respiratory Support in Patients with COVID-19. *Respiration* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Jun 5];99:521–41. Available from: [www.karger.com/res](http://www.karger.com/res)